

MEETING REPORT

Open Access



A MODEST review

Anna Lisa Varri^{1*} , Maxwell Xu Cai², Francisca Concha-Ramírez², František Dinnbier³, Nora Lützgendorf⁴, Václav Pavlík^{5,6}, Sara Rastello⁷, Antonio Sollima⁸, Long Wang⁹ and Alice Zocchi^{10,11}

Abstract

We present an account of the state of the art in the fields explored by the research community invested in “Modeling and Observing DENSE STellar systems”. For this purpose, we take as a basis the activities of the MODEST-17 conference, which was held at Charles University, Prague, in September 2017. Reviewed topics include recent advances in fundamental stellar dynamics, numerical methods for the solution of the gravitational N -body problem, formation and evolution of young and old star clusters and galactic nuclei, their elusive stellar populations, planetary systems, and exotic compact objects, with timely attention to black holes of different classes of mass and their role as sources of gravitational waves.

Such a breadth of topics reflects the growing role played by collisional stellar dynamics in numerous areas of modern astrophysics. Indeed, in the next decade many revolutionary instruments will enable the derivation of positions and velocities of individual stars in the Milky Way and its satellites, and will detect signals from a range of astrophysical sources in different portions of the electromagnetic and gravitational spectrum, with an unprecedented sensitivity. On the one hand, this wealth of data will allow us to address a number of long-standing open questions in star cluster studies; on the other hand, many unexpected properties of these systems will come to light, stimulating further progress of our understanding of their formation and evolution.

Keywords: Star clusters; Gravitational N -body problem; Stellar dynamics; Hydrodynamics; Methods: Numerical; Exoplanets; Stellar populations; Galactic nuclei; Black holes; Gravitational waves

1 Introduction

MODEST, which is an abbreviation for “Modeling and Observing DENSE STellar systems”, was established in 2002 as a collaboration between groups working throughout the world on the dynamics of dense, collisional stellar systems. Originally, the emphasis was exclusively on theoretical and computational investigations, with the high-level goal of providing “a comprehensive software framework for large-scale simulations of dense stellar systems, within which existing codes for dynamics, stellar evolution, and hydrodynamics could be easily coupled and compared to reality”^a. Such a vision has quantitatively shaped the activities of the collaboration in the past fifteen years, with several projects pursued by a number of close-knit working groups, during regular small-scale workshops. Many results and tools which have emerged from these initiatives have had a cru-

cial role in defining the current interpretative paradigm for the formation and dynamical evolution of collisional stellar systems.

The MODEST community has also progressively inspired and enabled the creation of a stimulating arena for a broader discussion on the theoretical understanding and empirical characterisation of several classes of stellar systems, especially globular star clusters and galactic nuclei. Such a broadening of the scope of the collaboration was reflected in a steady growth of the number of participants to its periodic meetings, which have now become large-scale international conferences and have been organized in Europe, North and South America, and Asia.

The present article follows the trail of the long-standing, yet somehow intermittent, tradition of papers summarising the MODEST activities (Hut et al. 2003; Sills et al. 2003; Davies et al. 2006; Portegies Zwart et al. 2008). We, as a group of ten young MODEST members, wish to present an account of the state of the art in fields explored during the MODEST-17 conference,^b which was held at Charles

*Correspondence: varri@roe.ac.uk

¹Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

University in Prague, Czech Republic, in September 2017, thanks to the generous hospitality of the Prague Stellar Dynamics Group. The conference represented the primary gathering of the MODEST community in 2017, attended by more than a hundred participants, with a programme structured in nine sessions, spanning from numerical methods for the solution of the gravitational N -body problem to the next observational frontiers. Such a wide range of topics demonstrates the growing role of collisional stellar dynamics in a number of astrophysical areas.

The next decade will witness several new instruments which will deliver astrometric, photometric and spectroscopic data of unprecedented sensitivity and accuracy. The recent detection of gravitational waves opens a unique window on the realm of compact objects. Numerical simulations are expected to tackle progressively larger N -body systems and to include more realistic approaches to model a variety of multi-scale, multi-physics problems, benefiting from both software and hardware advances. This wealth of data and tools will allow us to address a number of long-standing open questions in star cluster studies, but also to formulate new ones. Many unexpected properties of these systems are already coming to light, challenging our understanding of their formation and evolution processes.

Despite the richness of the scientific programme^e we are reporting on, this summary should not be considered as a complete assessment of the state of the art in collisional stellar dynamics. For a comprehensive view on theoretical and computational aspects we refer the reader to the books by Heggie and Hut (2003), Aarseth (2003), Merritt (2013), and Portegies Zwart and McMillan (2018). Several recent review articles are also available on: the internal dynamics of star clusters (Vesperini 2010; Heggie 2011; McMillan 2015), young massive star clusters (Portegies Zwart et al. 2010; Longmore et al. 2014), multiple stellar populations in globular clusters (Bastian and Lardo 2017; Gratton et al. 2012), star clusters in the landscape of galaxy formation and evolution (Renaud 2018; Kruijssen 2014), as well as the summary of a recent conference on the formation of globular clusters in a cosmological context (Forbes et al. 2018).

2 Stellar dynamics

2.1 The new phase space complexity of old globular clusters

The study of the internal dynamics of globular clusters (GCs) is traditionally pursued under a relatively stringent set of simplifying assumptions, chiefly isotropy in the velocity space, absence of internal ordered motions, and spherical symmetry. Thanks to new astrometric measurements provided by Gaia (see Sect. 6) and decades-long observational campaigns with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), supplemented by targeted spectroscopic surveys

(e.g., see Gilmore et al. 2012; Ferraro et al. 2018b; Kamann et al. 2018), we are currently undergoing an observational revolution, which is finally starting to reveal to us the phase space properties of many nearby Galactic globular clusters (e.g., see Watkins et al. 2015; Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018b). As a result, a new degree of kinematic richness is emerging in this class of stellar systems (e.g., see Bellini et al. 2017, 2018; Libralato et al. 2018; Bianchini et al. 2018). Along with this, the availability of powerful computational tools (see Sect. 3) calls for theoretical efforts on some forgotten aspects of collisional stellar dynamics, especially regarding the exploration of the role of angular momentum and anisotropy in the velocity space.

In this perspective, some recently addressed topics concern the implications of non-trivial initial conditions for numerical simulations of star clusters, specifically the evolution of spherical isolated systems with primordial anisotropy (Breen et al. 2017), and the effects of relaxing the assumptions of synchronization and coplanarity between internal and orbital angular velocity vectors (Tiongco et al. 2018). The interplay between the internal evolution of globular clusters and the interaction with the tidal field of their host galaxy also affects the evolution of anisotropy and rotation, adding a new dimension to the kinematic complexity of star clusters (Tiongco et al. 2017).

The kinematic properties of the outskirts of globular clusters have also been gaining growing attention. Recent observations show the existence of diffuse, spherical stellar “envelopes” surrounding a number of Galactic globular clusters (e.g., see Olszewski et al. 2009; Kuzma et al. 2018) and extending several times the nominal truncation radius (as estimated on the basis of simple, lowered isothermal models). The assessment of reliable star cluster members and the measurement of their kinematics, both in the plane of the sky and along the line of sight, poses great challenges due to the background confusion limit, but, in the few cases in which it has been accomplished at sufficiently large distances from the cluster centre, it has revealed an apparent lack of a truncation (e.g., see Marino et al. 2014). The nature of such stellar structures is therefore arousing great interest, and several possible formation (and disruption) scenarios have been proposed, including the presence of a population of “potential escapers” (see Heggie 2001; Küpper et al. 2010; Daniel et al. 2017; Claydon et al. 2017), of tidal debris of accreted dwarf galaxies (e.g. Sollima et al. 2018), or stellar structures associated with a possible small dark matter halo (e.g. Ibata et al. 2013; Lee et al. 2017; Peñarrubia et al. 2017; Breen et al. in prep.). Such kinematic measurements are made particularly difficult also by the presence of binary stars. Unresolved binaries may determine an inflation of the velocity dispersion profile (e.g. Bianchini et al. 2016), which, in turn, can cause an overestimation of the dynamical mass of the system (e.g. McConnachie and Côté 2010; Moyano Loyola in prep.).

2.2 Recent progress on some fundamental aspects of collisional dynamics

In addition to the role played by the emerging “kinematic richness”, our understanding of several fundamental aspects of collisional stellar dynamics is also far from complete. Fresh attention has been recently devoted to the study of the moment of core collapse in idealised N -body models. Approaches for a new definition of core collapse based on self-similar solutions have been developed (Pavlík and Šubr 2018). Single component models of core collapse result in radial density profiles similar to those of previous simulations and in agreement with theoretical predictions. Multi-component models, on the other hand, result in time scales for core collapse that correlate with the times of formation of the first hard binaries (see also Fujii and Portegies Zwart 2014). In this context, we note that Tanikawa et al. (2012) demonstrated by means of direct N -body simulations that dynamically formed hard binaries usually originate from a strongly interacting group of four or more stars. This is in contrast with earlier claims that hard binaries originate from triple encounters.

New characterisations of the processes of relaxation and mixing have also been proposed (Meiron and Kocsis 2018). While “relaxation time”, as associated with the energy diffusion process, is a useful definition when considering the cluster as a whole, the concept of “mixedness” may give a better picture of motion diffusion when particles of particular orbital families are considered.

2.3 Towards the large N regime

Recent hardware and software advances (Nitadori and Aarseth 2012) have finally allowed us to “solve” the gravitational million-body problem for selected globular clusters (see especially Wang et al. 2016; Heggie 2014), but several challenges are none the less still present in realistic GC modelling, such as the number of particles moving towards $N = 10^7$ and primordial binaries contributing to the extreme time scale differences. Performing state-of-the-art numerical simulations such as those presented by Wang et al. (2016) still require years of computing time on GPU (Graphics Processing Unit) supercomputers. The development of new algorithms for an efficient treatment of systems at the interface between collisional and collisionless dynamics is therefore urgently needed. Symplectic Particle tree and Algorithmic Regularization Code for Star Clusters (SPARC-SC) is a new particle-particle particle tree code designed for realistic massive star cluster simulations (Wang et al., in prep.). More details about the numerical developments can be found in Sect. 3.

Alternatively, “frozen” N -body models, which follow the dynamics of a tracer particle in the potential generated by N fixed particles, may serve as a tool to study the validity of the continuum collisionless limit ($N \rightarrow \infty$). In this context, some recent results (Di Cintio et al., in prep) show

that, consistently with previous work, the orbits evolved in frozen N -body potentials qualitatively resemble orbits integrated in the parent, smooth potentials. However, the dependence on N is non-trivial, and, concerning N -body chaos, the continuum limit may be questioned. Regular and chaotic orbits for large N models cannot always be safely extrapolated from systems with a lower number of degrees of freedom.

In the purely collisionless regime, a classical topic of current relevance is represented by the characterisation of a gravitational field by means of an expansion over basis functions. A new biorthogonal family of density-potential pairs has been recently proposed by Lilley et al. (2018), with application to an efficient spectral decomposition of non-spherical potentials. Finally, two recent studies of barred galactic potentials have been conducted, with the identification of a class of three-dimensional non-periodic orbits, which have boxy projections in both their face-on and side-on views (Chaves-Velasquez et al. 2017), and the numerical exploration of the threshold for global disc instabilities (Valencia-Enríquez et al. 2017).

2.4 Globular cluster formation in a cosmological context

The current understanding of GC formation is still only partially satisfactory, both because of several outstanding issues arising from the modelling of the early phases of star formation in a clustered environment and because of the severe computational difficulties in connecting the cluster scale to a cosmological one.

Given the multi-physics and multi-scale characteristics of this problem, concerted computational efforts are needed to bridge the gap between stellar dynamical and hydrodynamical codes. The software environment AMUSE (Pelupessy et al. 2013; Portegies Zwart et al. 2013; Portegies Zwart and McMillan 2018) was indeed developed to fulfil such a need (see Sect. 3). A novel coupling between AMUSE and FLASH^d (Fryxell et al. 2000; Wall et al. 2017) provides new aspects to the traditional approach of star formation in GCs (McMillan et al. 2015). FLASH provides modules for magnetohydrodynamics, bringing feedback from radiation, supernovae, and stellar winds together with the dynamics and stellar evolution modules provided by AMUSE.

Several key questions that arise in theoretical studies of GC formation and evolution regard their link to the history of our own Galaxy, through the physical mechanisms that shape the population of clusters we see at $z = 0$. Could the products of regular cluster formation at high redshift have survived until the present day, and are these relics consistent with the properties of local globular cluster populations? In other words, are GCs old young massive clusters that have survived? To address these open issues, several groups are targeting the challenging problem of the formation of GCs in a cosmological context,

with a variety of computational approaches (e.g., see the recent results by Ricotti et al. 2016; Renaud et al. 2017; Li et al. 2017, 2018; Creasey et al. 2018; Carlberg 2018), or within broader galaxy formation projects, such as E-MOSAICS—MOdelling Star cluster population Assembly In Cosmological Simulations within EAGLE (Schaye et al. 2015; Crain et al. 2015; Pfeffer et al. 2018; Kruijssen et al. 2018), FIRE—Feedback In Realistic Environments simulations (e.g., Hopkins et al. 2014; Grudić et al. 2017; Kim et al. 2018), CosmoGrid (Ishiyama et al. 2013; Rieder et al. 2013).

3 Numerical methods

3.1 NBODYX

NBODY6 is a state-of-the-art direct N -body code specifically designed to study the dynamical evolution of collisional stellar systems (for details, see Aarseth 2003). The current release of the code has been upgraded to include the SSE (Streaming SIMD (Single Instruction Multiple Data) Extensions) and GPU compatibility (Nitadori and Aarseth 2012).

The equations of motion of the individual stars are integrated by a fourth order Hermite predictor-corrector scheme (Makino 1991) with individual time-steps coupled to a Ahmad–Cohen (Ahmad and Cohen 1973; Makino and Aarseth 1992) neighbour scheme. The major feature of this code is the implementation of regularisation techniques (Kustaanheimo and Stiefel 1965; Aarseth and Zare 1974; Mikkola and Aarseth 1990, 1993), which are essential for a proper treatment of close encounters. In principle, multiple methods may be combined to achieve an even higher performance, however, it should be noted that decision-making is the bottleneck of each calculation. For realistic modelling of stellar clusters, the code evolves single star and binary evolution from synthetic stellar evolution tracks (Hurley et al. 2000, 2002).

The latest release of the code, NBODY7, contains a post-Newtonian treatment of the force calculation, which means that orbit variations and merging of compact object binaries due to general relativity can be simulated. Over the years, members of the MODEST community have also developed separate branches of the code with prescriptions to include a variety of additional physical ingredients, such as the effects of an arbitrary external tidal field (NBODY6tt,^e Renaud et al. 2011) and dynamical friction (NBODY6df,^f Petts et al. 2015).

In order to perform massive star cluster simulations, hybrid parallelization methods (SIMD + OpenMP, GPU) have recently been implemented (Nitadori and Aarseth 2012). With a desktop equipped with state-of-the-art NVIDIA GPUs and 4–8 cores CPU, the simulations of $N \approx 10^5$ systems are now achievable. In addition, NBODY6++GPU^g adds the MPI support, thus million body-scale simulations become possible (see Wang et al. 2016) by using GPU computer clusters.

During the conference, one special session (which has been summarised in a dedicated document^h) has been devoted to an open floor discussion about maintaining and further developing NBODY6. Here we only mention that two tools have already been established to encourage the community to actively contribute to the development of the code and the support of the userbase: a wiki pageⁱ and a github repository.^j

3.2 P³T

Most of the dynamical simulations of star clusters in previous studies use the direct N -body methods with individual time steps (see previous Section). With a few hundred CPU cores and a few ten GPUs, realistic modelling of million-body GCs is now achievable (Wang et al. 2016), however, the high computational cost (i.e., approximately half a year per half-mass relaxation time) makes this kind of approach still impractical for general studies, such as specific simulations of rich clusters (especially in the case of highly concentrated systems) and broad initial parameter sampling. It is therefore crucial to devise new numerical strategies to successfully tackle the “post-million body problem”, e.g. by initially targeting the efficient investigation of stellar systems with $N > 10^7$.

Oshino and Makino (2011) invented and Iwasawa et al. (2015) further explored the Particle-Particle Particle-Tree (P³T) method, which uses particle trees (Barnes and Hut 1986) for long-range forces and individual time-step Hermite integrator for short-range forces, based on an Hamiltonian splitting. They found that the P³T method can be much faster than a pure Hermite integrator. This result suggests a new direction for the development of the next generation of star cluster simulation codes. By adding regularization methods, the P³T code (e.g., SPARC-SC, which is under development by Wang et al.) may become an efficient alternative code for massive star cluster simulations, making it possible to reach not only $N > 10^7$ particles, but also more realistic stellar densities.

3.3 AMUSE

AMUSE^k (Astrophysical Multipurpose Software Environment; Portegies Zwart et al. 2009, 2013; Pelupessy et al. 2013) is a software framework for computational astrophysics. Existing codes from different domains, such as stellar dynamics, stellar evolution, hydrodynamics and radiative transfer can be easily coupled using a high-level python script. It is based on a “kitchen sink” model, i.e. a realistic multi-physics and multi-scale simulation can be computed by using dedicated solvers for each physical process or component. AMUSE is not a monolithic code, but it interfaces existing mature numerical codes used by the community as modules to perform the actual calculations. The AMUSE interface handles unit conversions, provides consistent object oriented interfaces, manages the state of

the underlying simulation codes and provides transparent distributed computing. Different codes in the same domain are incorporated by using the same interface specification, so that users can change a solver/integrator by changing one line of source code in the high-level python script. This makes the architecture highly modular, and allows users to treat codes as building blocks and to choose the optimal ones according to the problem under consideration. A complete, hands-on introduction to this software environment can be found in Portegies Zwart and McMillan (2018).

3.4 Monte Carlo, Fokker–Planck, and other methods

A number of approximate schemes have offered very valuable alternatives to the computationally demanding direct N -body techniques. Two main Monte Carlo approaches, which use a statistical method of solving the Fokker–Planck equation, were originally developed by Spitzer et al. (1971) and Hénon (1971). The latter, so-called “orbit-averaged method” has been subsequently improved by Shapiro (1985) and Stodolkiewicz (1986), and, more recently, Joshi et al. (2000) and Giersz (1998), respectively. Currently, two efficient numerical implementations rest on this distinguished legacy: CMC (Cluster Monte Carlo, Pattabiraman et al. 2013; Rodriguez et al. 2016c) and the MOCCA¹ code (MOnTe Carlo Cluster simulAtor, Hypki and Giersz 2013). Both implementations have been widely tested against direct N -body techniques, and have been used to explore the dynamical evolution of collisional systems within large parameter spaces of initial conditions (see the first results of the “MOCCA SURVEY Database”, by Belloni et al. 2016), an endeavour which is still unfeasible with direct methods. In recent years, these rapid, approximate schemes have been intensively exploited in particular to assess the astrophysical properties and local merger rate densities for coalescing binary black holes in GCs (see Rodriguez et al. 2015; Askar et al. 2017, and Sects. 10, 11).

Finally, another approach based on the direct numerical integration of the orbit-averaged Fokker–Planck equation in energy and angular momentum space has been essential in the early formulation of the current evolutionary paradigm of collisional systems (see especially Cohn 1979; Goodman 1983). Along this line, Vasiliev (2017) has presented a new scheme for simulating the collisional evolution of spherical isotropic stellar systems based on the one-dimensional Fokker–Planck equation, with the publicly available code PhaseFlow.^m This code implements a high-accuracy finite-element method for the Fokker–Planck equation, and can handle multiple-component systems (optionally with a central black hole, by taking into account loss-cone effects).

4 Dynamics of exoplanetary systems in star clusters

Modelling planetary systems in star clusters poses many different challenges. Indeed, these systems have very different temporal and spatial scales, which leads to a hierarchical architecture. If one attempts to integrate the coupled system (i.e., coevolving planetary systems in star clusters), the integrator will be forced to use very small time steps (comparable to 1/10 of the orbital period of the shortest period orbits), which essentially stalls the simulation. Monte Carlo approaches can indeed effectively circumvent this difficulty, but the results will strongly depend on the quality of initial sampling. Besides, it is usually not possible to take into account various physical processes present in star clusters, such as stellar evolution, mass segregation, and tidal disruption. Also, the fact that the potential in star clusters is typically lumpy and may vary quickly in time makes it unreliable to impose a static tide to the target planetary system. Planet-planet scattering, a process which can greatly affect the dynamical evolution of a planetary system, should also be considered, since multi-planet systems are common. It is highly challenging to apply analytical treatments to multi-planet systems due to their chaotic nature.

Planetary systems can be affected by a dense stellar environment in two major ways: first, during the planet formation process (Stage 1), protoplanetary disks may be subject to truncation (e.g., Vincke et al. 2015; Portegies Zwart 2016) due to stellar encounters and/or photoevaporation due to the incident FUV photons from nearby O/B stars (e.g., Adams et al. 2006; Anderson et al. 2013). Second, as the disk dissipates (Stage 2), planets are no longer protected by the eccentricity damping mechanism of the disk, and their orbital eccentricities and inclinations can be effectively induced by stellar encounters (e.g., Spurzem et al. 2009; Hao et al. 2013; Li and Adams 2015; Shara et al. 2016; Cai et al. 2017).

Despite the intrinsic challenges, great progress has been made in recent years. At Stage 1, simulations of disk truncations have been greatly simplified by the use of empirical recipes generated from heuristic fits of hydrodynamical simulations (e.g., Breslau et al. 2014). First-order simulations that ignore the viscous evolution of protoplanetary disks already yield reasonable agreement with the observed disk-size distribution (Portegies Zwart 2016), and a few follow-up studies that take into account the viscous evolution and photoevaporation are currently in progress. At Stage 2, pioneer work has been carried out by Spurzem et al. (2009). They use KS regularization (Kustaanheimo and Stiefel 1965) implemented in NBODY6++ (Spurzem 1999) to model single-planet systems in dense star clusters. Subsequently, Hao et al. (2013) investigated multi-planet system in open clusters using Monte Carlo scattering experiments. They use the MERCURY package (Chambers 1999) to integrate the long-term secular

evolution of planetary systems, and the CHAIN package (Mikkola and Aarseth 1993) to handle close stellar encounters. Li and Adams (2015) employed a similar approach to derive the cross-section for planetary systems interacting with passing stars and binaries. A census of free-floating planets in star clusters is presented in Zheng et al. (2015). By using NBODY6, Shara et al. (2016) concluded that dynamical encounters excite the orbital eccentricities of planets to a sufficiently high level such that tidal circularization becomes particularly efficient at the perihelion, which in turn leads to the formation of hot Jupiters. Finally, a recent study by Cai et al. (2017) points out that external perturbations due to stellar encounters and internal planet-planet scattering are two major mechanisms responsible for the instability of planetary systems in star clusters. Furthermore, their results show that planet ejection is a cumulative process: only 3% of encounters are strong enough to induce the orbital eccentricities of the outermost planets by $\Delta e \geq 0.5$, and most encounters only result in minute changes in orbital eccentricities. A follow-up study by Cai et al. (2018) argues that field planetary systems bear signatures from their parental clusters. For example, planetary systems with more planets tend to have cooler dynamical temperatures (i.e., lower orbital eccentricities and mutual inclinations), because they likely spend most of their time in the low-density outskirts of the parental clusters. As such, the orbital elements of field planetary systems can be used to constrain their birth environments.

5 Young star clusters and star forming regions

5.1 Star forming regions

While young massive stars disperse and heat the surrounding gas, suppressing further star formation locally, their feedback might enhance or even trigger another star forming event further away. Expanding bubbles powered by HII regions, stellar winds or supernovae are able to sweep neutral or molecular gas over length scales of parsecs or tens of parsecs, collecting the gas into clouds, which cools down, gravitationally collapses, forms molecules and eventually stars. This mechanism, “collect and collapse”, was first proposed by Elmegreen and Elmegreen (1978). This process also propagates star formation: under suitable conditions (mainly on the density), one single event of star formation may trigger a sequence of star forming events. Whitworth et al. (1994) and Elmegreen (1994) provide analytical estimates for luminosity of young stars and density of the interstellar medium (ISM) under which star formation can propagate.

To study how widespread triggered star formation is, it is necessary to distinguish it from spontaneous star formation. A strong evidence for triggering is considered to be an observation of two or more star forming regions separated by appropriate time intervals, and a morphologi-

cal structure suggesting that the regions are causally connected. Another piece of evidence comes from the comparison of the mass of the observed objects with analytical estimates predicted for observed density, luminosity and age. Observations (e.g., Deharveng et al. 2010; Simpson et al. 2012; Seleznev, in prep.) and numerical simulations (e.g., Dale et al. 2015) suggest that unambiguous identification of triggered star formation suffers from several limitations, mainly the uncertainty in dating young stellar objects and gas displacement due to feedback; however they indicate that approximately 1/4 to 1/3 of massive star formation is triggered.

Although the presence of filaments in molecular clouds had been known for decades, it was the Herschel Space Observatory which showed their ubiquity (André 2015). Detailed maps provided by Herschel revealed several puzzling properties of filaments. There exists a critical line mass $M_{\text{ICR}} \simeq 2c_s^2/G$ for a filament of sound speed c_s , above which the filament becomes gravitationally unstable. Non-star forming filaments with line mass $< M_{\text{ICR}}$ have been shown to be very common in molecular clouds, with some molecular clouds (e.g., Polaris Flare) being entirely composed of these filaments, and not forming stars at all. Another piece of evidence linking star formation to supercritical filaments stems from the observation of prestellar cores. The majority ($\simeq 70\%$) of prestellar cores are found to lie within supercritical filaments. An unexpected property of filaments is their almost universal width of ~ 0.1 pc (e.g., see Arzoumanian et al. 2011, although see Panopoulou et al. 2017 for a different point of view). The physical processes responsible for the observed properties of filaments are currently a subject of active research, with three suggested solutions: magnetic fields (Nakamura and Li 2008; Seifried and Walch 2015), large-scale turbulence (Mac Low and Klessen 2004) and global self-gravity (Heitsch et al. 2008).

Supercritical filaments have a low Mach ($c_s \lesssim \sigma_{\text{NT}} \lesssim 2c_s$) non-thermal velocity component (Arzoumanian et al. 2013). Understanding of this component might shed light on dynamical state and perhaps also on the origin of filaments. Toci and Galli (2015) suggest that the non-thermal velocity component is caused by small amplitude Alfvén waves. However, more recently Di Cintio et al. (2018) offer a simpler scenario, without invoking magnetic fields. They propose two models: cold collapse of an initially static filament and a perturbed filament. After initial virialisation, the models assume a state with an almost constant virial ratio throughout several hundreds of free-fall time. Both the models agree with the observational data.

5.2 Young stellar objects and the connection to their natal gaseous structures

Since prestellar cores form in supercritical filaments, the following questions are immediately prompted: for how

long do prestellar cores trace the underlying gaseous structure? Which physical mechanism unbinds them?

With a multiwavelength study of the star forming region surrounding NGC 1333, Hacar et al. (2017) recently found a complicated structure of fibers characterized by a non-trivial topology. At some places, the fibers are intertwined to bundles of filaments. As for the more general case of filaments, supercritical fibers are star forming, while subcritical fibers, which are the majority, are non-star forming. The age and evolutionary state of a young stellar object can be estimated from the slope of their spectral energy distribution. There are four classes of young stellar objects, with “class 0” being the youngest, and “class III” the most evolved (i.e., weak-line T Tauri stars). While class 0 sources closely trace the gaseous structures, the correlation with gas becomes less pronounced for more evolved sources; class III sources are located randomly with the respect to the gas distribution.

Even low-mass, young stellar objects can drive outflows, which may be sufficient to stop the accreting flow from setting the final mass of the young star. Alternatively, Stutz and Gould (2016) have proposed the “slingshot mechanism”, which can also explain the termination of the accretion onto a protostar. In this mechanism, protostars are formed within an oscillating filament. The youngest protostars are well embedded within the filament because of their large gaseous envelopes. As the protostars evolve and become more compact, they progressively decouple from gas. When the decoupling occurs near the maximum of oscillation, where the acceleration is the highest, the protostar freely moves away from the filament, as confirmed by recent N -body simulations (Boekholt et al. 2017).

5.3 Young star clusters

Approximately 80% of young stellar objects are found in groups and clusters, with the remaining 20% being distributed in the field. The observed state of young star clusters can help improving our understanding the process of star formation by providing an empirical assessment of the boundary conditions of such process. This is particularly important in the case of massive stars, the formation of which is still poorly understood.

Two main channels for formation of young star clusters have been proposed: by means of the monolithic collapse of a massive, dense, molecular cloud, or by merging of many smaller subclusters (for a recent review, see e.g. Longmore et al. 2014). The latter possibility implies that the resulting cluster may retain some memory of the original substructures, at least on a time scale which depends on the mean density of the region encompassing the subclusters themselves. In some cases, the boundary between these two scenarios might be blurred as, in sufficiently dense environments, subclusters can already start merging while star formation is still taking place.

Evidence of a hierarchical structure in the stellar component of the 30 Doradus region was reported by Sabbi et al. (2012). Interesting results on the nearest young clusters containing massive stars were then obtained within MYStIX (Massive Young Star-Forming Complex Study in Infrared and X-ray Feigelson et al. 2013) survey. The youngest clusters are often elongated in shape, with typical eccentricities in the range 0.3 to 0.5, and they often contain several subclusters. Tentative evidence (Kuhn et al. 2014) suggests that young clusters then progressively evolve towards configurations that are approximately characterized by spherical symmetry and a more homogeneous density. Recent studies have also shown that hierarchical substructures, covering up to three orders of magnitude in surface density, are conspicuous in the Orion A and B molecular clouds (Gutermuth et al. 2011; Megeath et al. 2016). The empirical evidence of the hierarchical nature of Orion A and B also suggests that the precursors of massive clusters may likely be highly hierarchical as well.

The merging scenario of cluster formation has been recently investigated in a number of theoretical studies, with different numerical techniques. With direct N -body models, Fujii et al. (2012) have explored the hierarchical formation of young massive clusters via mergers of smaller clusters. They subsequently extended this study with an exploration of the products of mergers of turbulent molecular clouds, modelled with SPH simulations (Fujii and Portegies Zwart 2015, 2016; Fujii 2015). By means of collisional N -body models, Banerjee and Kroupa (2015) have set limits on the compactness of an initial configuration which can then form, on the time scale of Myr, smooth, spherically symmetric massive clusters similar to NGC 3603. By means of collisionless simulations, Grudić et al. (2017) found that subcluster mergers tend to produce a cluster of projected density $\mu \sim R^{-2}$, which is in relatively good agreement with observational studies of massive star clusters, which have profiles of slope $\mu \sim R^{-2.5}$ to $\mu \sim R^{-3}$.

Star forming regions have higher binary fraction of low-mass stars (typically by factor of 1.5 to 2), if compared to field stars or “exposed” star clusters. Thus, binary evolution is important even during the relatively short-lived embedded phase. This is mainly due to the fact that binaries are more easily destroyed in denser environments and that the stellar density abruptly decreases as the gas is expelled terminating the embedded phase. Using direct N -body simulations to model the destruction rate of binaries of different semi-major axes, Kroupa (1995) found that almost all solar-mass stars are formed in binaries. A similar idea was also used to estimate the initial density in star clusters as a function of the cluster mass (Marks and Kroupa 2012). The binary destruction rate in small clusters is faster than in more massive ones. In principle, the observed binary fraction of young star clusters can therefore

offer a possible tool to distinguish between the two cluster formation scenarios mentioned above (Dorval et al. 2017).

If massive stars are formed predominantly at the cluster centre, this can leave an imprint in terms of the degree of primordial mass segregation. The knowledge of the birthplace of massive stars within a cluster is valuable for testing different theories of massive star formation (i.e., “competitive accretion”, see Bonnell et al. 1997), and even the origin of the initial mass function (Bonnell et al. 2007). Some young clusters (e.g. the Orion Nebula Cluster, ONC, see Hillenbrand 1997; NGC 3603 see Pang et al. 2013; Westerlund 2, see Zeidler et al. 2017) indeed show signs of mass segregation. Despite recent progress on observational side, this is still a matter of continuing debate because mass segregation can also arise dynamically from initially non-segregated clusters (either due to energy equipartition in the case of lower mass ONC, or due to merging of sub-clusters in the case of more massive star clusters).

The time scale for formation of a star cluster is another important open question. The age of individual stars in an embedded cluster can be determined by comparing observed colour-magnitude diagrams with pre-main-sequence evolutionary models. However, differential extinction, unresolved binaries and activity of pre-main-sequence stars introduce systematic errors leading to large uncertainties in the age determination. As a result, the age spread can range from $\simeq 1$ Myr up to 10 Myr, with a possible gradual increase of the star formation rate over time (Palla and Stahler 2000). Recently, Beccari et al. (2017), have detected three distinct pre-main-sequences in the ONC, which is still a very young and embedded cluster (see also Sect. 7).

Both increasingly more detailed observations and more sophisticated numerical tools, particularly regarding the interplay between stellar and gas dynamics (see Sect. 3) and the treatment of realistic feedback from massive stars, are needed in order to clarify the issues described above.

6 New observational frontiers

6.1 The (more than a) billion star surveyor: Gaia

Among the datasets that are going to be delivered in the upcoming years, the most exciting for the star cluster community is arguably the one provided by the European Space Agency (ESA) astrometric mission Gaia.ⁿ The census of sources for which Gaia is expected to provide phase-space coordinates (positions, parallaxes, proper motions) is estimated to include $\sim 2.5 \times 10^9$ objects (~ 2.5 times larger than what originally planned). In addition, other information will be provided, including stellar parameters, metallicity, etc., thus increasing the number of dimensions of the phase-space that we will be able to probe.

The Gaia-ESO survey^o (Gilmore et al. 2012) was designed to complement Gaia, by providing accurate radial velocity measurements obtained with the GIRAFFE and

UVES spectrographs at the European Southern Observatory (ESO) for more than 10^5 stars in both the Galactic field and star clusters. By considering Gaia and Gaia-ESO data simultaneously, it will be possible to improve the membership determination for stars, and thus to clean up the colour-magnitude diagrams of many nearby clusters. This will improve the determination of many global properties of star clusters and will enable a more detailed study of their internal dynamics. The astrophysical complexity of these systems has become evident with these accurate data. For example, two kinematic components are identified in the γ^2 Velorum open cluster (Jeffries et al. 2014) with roughly equal numbers of stars having velocity offset of about 2 km s^{-1} : the population having a broader velocity distribution appears to be younger by 1–2 Myr and less concentrated than the other one. Another example is the detection of substructures in the open clusters Chameleon 1 (Sacco et al. 2017) and NGC 2264 (Venuti et al. 2017), which supports a formation scenario where clusters form from the evolution of multiple substructures rather than from a monolithic collapse (see also Sect. 5).

The first release of Gaia data (DR1-TGAS, Tycho-Gaia Astrometric Solution) provided positions, proper motions and parallaxes for $\sim 2 \times 10^6$ stars originally included in the Tycho mission in the solar neighbourhood. In spite of the relatively large uncertainties, many interesting results have been obtained from this release and its correlation with other surveys (SDSS, LAMOST, RAVE; Helmi et al. 2017; Robin et al. 2017; Myeong et al. 2017). For many open clusters, the mean parallaxes and proper motions have been determined using TGAS data (van Leeuwen et al. 2017), and they are generally in very good agreement with the earlier determination based on Hipparcos data (with the exception of the mean parallax for the Pleiades cluster). One of the main issue which emerged from the early analysis of DR1 data concerned the stellar parameters determination: covariances are apparent among the uncertainties of all parameter. Intense efforts have been devoted by several groups to the study of the correlation in the noise.

The second data release DR2^p has just provided data for a larger sample of stars, with an accuracy surpassing the expectations. DR2 contains positions (α, δ), G and integrated BP and RP photometric fluxes and magnitudes for all sources (with typical uncertainties $\epsilon_G \sim 0.002 \text{ mag}$), five-parameter astrometric solutions for all sources with acceptable formal standard errors ($30 < \epsilon_\pi / \mu\text{as} < 700$; for $> 10^9$ stars) and radial velocities for sources brighter than 12 mag (with $\epsilon_v \sim 2 \text{ km/s}$). Moreover, for stars brighter than $G = 17$ mag estimates of the effective temperature and, where possible, line-of-sight extinction are provided.

The community is currently busy mining and interpreting such a transformative dataset and several demonstration and early scientific results have already been published. As an incomplete list of possible applications, here

we mention the quantification of the lumpiness of the local halo (Koppelman et al. 2018), the kinematics of the Galactic disk (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018c), the calibration of the RR Lyrae and Cepheids distance scale (Clementini et al. 2018, with implications for the measurement of the Hubble constant, see Riess et al. 2018), the distance and orbits of GCs and dwarf galaxies (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018b, with implications for the measurement of the Milky Way mass, see Watkins et al. 2018), the detection and characterisation of tidal streams (Malhan et al. 2018) and hyper-velocity stars (Boubert et al. 2018; Marchetti et al. 2018; Lennon et al. 2018; Hawkins and Wyse 2018). The quality of DR2 data is high enough to determine the fine structure of the Hertzsprung–Russell diagram of stars in the local neighbourhood and beyond (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018a), an endeavour which is revealing many surprises for stellar population studies (e.g., see Kilic et al. 2018; Jao et al. 2018; El-Badry et al. 2018). As for the study of the internal kinematics of structures in the Local Group, this has been proved feasible even for individual point sources in M33, M31 and the Large Magellanic Cloud (van der Marel et al. 2018; Vasiliev 2018b), paving the way for the characterisation of many other objects, including selected young and old star clusters (Bianchini et al. 2018; Milone et al. 2018; Kuhn et al. 2018). Beside the primary interest on Galactic stellar populations and dynamics, Gaia data enable to measure exoplanet sizes (Fulton and Petigura 2018), gravitationally lensed systems (Krone-Martins et al. 2018), and even to test general relativity using positional displacement of Sun and Jovian limb. Moreover, it can also be used to develop alternative astrometric search methods for gravitational waves sources (Moore et al. 2017).

6.2 The electromagnetic spectrum is not enough: gravitational wave detectors

The groundbreaking gravitational waves (GW) detection from Advanced LIGO^d (Abbott et al. 2016a) provided the first evidence for massive black holes (BHs) mergers, confirming many predictions of general relativity.

The continued improvement of sensitivity over time will result in more detections at greater distances. The advent of additional detectors around the world (such as KAGRA,^f scheduled for late 2018) will result in better angular resolution and better chances for the identification of the electromagnetic counterparts of the detected events. Indeed, the joint detection of a GW event by LIGO and Virgo (The LIGO Scientific Collaboration et al. 2017) has provided a much better angular resolution than the detections by LIGO alone. Thanks to the joint collaboration between the different GW observatories it will be also possible to use polarization to break the degeneracy between luminosity distance and inclination.

New insights in this field are also expected from the forthcoming missions involving GW detectors in space.

In this regard, it is noteworthy that LISA Pathfinder,^g the first high-quality laboratory launched in December 2015 which conducted high-precision laser interferometric tracking of orbiting bodies in space, surpassed its performance requirements and expectations. LISA^h will provide information about dynamical systems over a wide range of time, length, and mass scales. The advent of such mission will shift the boundary of GW astrophysics to low-amplitude/large distant events like those produced by Galactic white dwarf binaries (Finn et al. 2015), extreme mass ratio and supermassive BH inspirals (Gair et al. 2008), extra-Galactic binaries in the field and black hole binaries in GCs up to distances of 30 Mpc (Sesana 2016).

6.3 A new eye on the invisible Universe: Lynx

A new era is also beginning for X-ray astronomy, thanks to Lynx,^u a large area, high angular resolution, X-ray mission concept for the next decade. This mission will combine a large gain in collecting area, an angular resolution of 0.5 arcsec, and very high resolution spectroscopy over a large field of view. With its two orders of magnitude leap in sensitivity over Chandra and ATHENA,^v it will provide insights into many different scientific problems.

One of the main science drivers of Lynx is the determination of the origin of supermassive BHs. It will be indeed possible to discriminate between the two main hypotheses regarding the seeds of these objects: Population III remnants and intermediate-mass black holes. Lynx will have enough sensitivity to directly detect $10^4 M_{\odot}$ objects, pinning down the luminosity function to distinguish between these seeds. In this respect, Lynx would be a useful complement to JWST (James Webb Space Telescope), ATHENA, GMT (Giant Magellan Telescope) and other future missions.

Lynx is also expected to find and characterize the first generation of groups of Milky Way-sized galaxies around $z \sim 4$. In this regard, it is crucial to investigate the content and ionization fraction of the circumgalactic (CGM) and intergalactic medium (IGM): very different input physics could indeed lead to similar stellar light in galaxies at $z = 0$, but greatly different CGM and IGM properties. By observing feedback from star formation on scales from individual young star forming regions to the entire galaxy, Lynx will map the IGM, allowing us to uniquely pin down the physics of structure formation. Furthermore, medium-deep Lynx Surveys will expose the emergence of black hole populations in galaxies after $z \sim 6$, in a wide range of galaxy types and density environments.

Moreover, Lynx will provide a detailed view of every aspect of the BH feedback process, by measuring the energy and momentum flux in BH-generated outflows. This will make it possible to study where, how, and how much of the active galactic nuclei (AGN) outburst energy is dissipated in galaxies, groups and clusters.

Finally, with Lynx it will be possible to find and characterize the low L_x population of GCs. With Chandra, we can probe below few $\times 10^{30}$ erg/s only for a few nearby clusters (M4, 47 Tuc, NGC 6440, NGC 6752, NGC 6397), while with Lynx it will be possible to do this for dozens of Galactic GCs.

The contribution from the MODEST community to the development of the science cases within the upcoming 2020 Decadal survey has been encouraged. The Lynx observatory will offer a complementary view of dense stellar systems with respect to the facilities illustrated above and will thus be crucial to tackle the many open questions that keep emerging in this era of revolutionary observations.

7 Stellar populations in star clusters

7.1 Initial and present-day mass function

The original mass distribution of stars, commonly referred to as the initial mass function (IMF), represents one of the central questions in the theory of star formation and has strong relevance for many areas of astrophysics. The universality of the IMF, its shape and the parameters driving its hypothetical variation are still far from being completely understood.

Some suggestions on possible IMF variations have been recently put forward on the basis of the shape of the present-day MF in unevolved stellar systems ($t_{\text{age}} \ll t_{\text{rh}}$). While Grillmair et al. (1998) and Wyse et al. (2002) derived MFs for Draco and Ursa Minor dwarf spheroidals which are consistent with a Salpeter (1955) IMF, Geha et al. (2013) found evidence of MF variations correlated with the mean metallicity in a sample of ultrafaint dwarf galaxies. This study has been however questioned by El-Badry et al. (2017), who found that evident MF differences cannot be detected with the currently available photometric data. Weisz et al. (2013) analysed a large sample of young clusters and associations whose MFs are available in the literature: in spite of the large cluster-to-cluster differences, a careful revision of the associated errors indicates that the hypothesis that they are consistent with a single IMF slope cannot be ruled out.

Interesting information can be derived also by the study of dynamically evolved stellar systems. The analysis of high-resolution HST photometry for a sample of 35 Galactic GCs revealed tight correlations of the slope of the present-day MF with the half-mass relaxation time and with the fraction of remnants (Sollima and Baumgardt 2017). The observed trends are compatible with the natural concept of dynamical evolution, with highly evolved clusters characterized by flatter MFs and large fractions of remnants, and the small observed spread leaves little room for IMF variation. However, none of the N -body simulations run for comparison are able to reproduce the flatness of the measured MFs ($\alpha > -1$ for 27 out of 35 analysed GCs) for their relatively low number of elapsed half-mass

relaxation times ($3 < t_{\text{age}}/t_{\text{rh}} < 10$; Baumgardt and Sollima 2017). Moreover, less evolved GCs ($t_{\text{age}}/t_{\text{rh}} < 3$) show a depletion of low-mass stars with respect to a single power-law, which should be reminiscent of their IMF shape (as predicted by Kroupa 2001 and Chabrier 2003). In a similar comparison between observed MF and N -body simulations Webb and Vesperini (2016) found that while for the majority of clusters analysed in their sample the present day MF and the degree of mass segregation are consistent with the prediction of simulations starting from a universal IMF, some non-standard initial conditions should be present in NGC 5466 and NGC 6101, which are non-segregated and characterized by a flat MF. The need of IMF variation has been also put forward to interpret the evidence coming from mass-to-light ratios estimated through integrated light analyses of M31 GCs (Haghi et al. 2017) and the fraction of low-mass X-ray binaries in Virgo GCs and Ultra Compact Dwarf galaxies (UCDs, Dabringhausen et al. 2012).

7.2 Mass-to-light ratios

An accurate determination of the mass-to-light ratios (M/L) of star clusters is important because it provides direct information on their present day stellar population and important clues on their IMF. Understanding the values of M/L from a dynamical point of view is also crucial to determine the evolutionary history of these systems. However, estimates of the M/L from dynamics and from population synthesis do not fully agree, and the effects of several factors need to be considered in order to account for this discrepancy. In particular, the global mass function and the presence of binaries and dark remnants need to be properly assessed, and their determination is particularly tricky because they depend both on the unknown initial conditions and on the dynamical evolution history of the system.

Not only the global M/L , but also their radial profiles within the system are crucial to uncover the stellar population content in star clusters. Indeed, mass segregation and the evolution towards a state of partial energy equipartition cause the M/L radial profile not to be constant, and to show a prominent central peak due to the presence of dark remnants (Bianchini et al. 2017). The study of the evolution of numerical simulations show that the exact shape of these profiles depend on the evolutionary stages of clusters. A decrease in M/L is seen for highly evolved systems, and it is primarily driven by the dynamical ejection of dark remnants, rather than by the escape of low-mass star.

A particularly intriguing puzzle is related to the decreasing trend of M/L_K with metallicity observed in M31 clusters (Strader et al. 2011), which cannot be reproduced by means of simple single stellar population models. A proposed explanation for this is related to the role of mass segregation (Shanahan and Gieles 2015), but this factor alone

is not sufficient to completely account for the discrepancy. It has been recently showed that by taking into account dynamical evolution, together with a top heavy IMF, and a 10% retention fraction for remnants, a better agreement to the data can be obtained (Zonoozi et al. 2016), and to incorporate the age-metallicity relation further improves the fit (Haghi et al. 2017).

7.3 Multiple stellar populations

For decades GCs have been considered as a collection of stars all characterised by the same age and initial chemical composition, and treated as a prototype of a simple stellar population. However, numerous observational studies have put this simple picture to test: spectroscopic observations have unveiled different chemical composition for stars in the same cluster (Gratton et al. 2004, 2012; Carretta et al. 2009), and accurate photometric data obtained with HST have shown the splitting of the evolutionary sequences in the colour-magnitude diagrams (CMDs) of GCs (Gratton et al. 2012; Piotto et al. 2015; Milone et al. 2015). One of the suggested interpretations of these observations is that clusters have been the site of multiple generations of stars with the second-generation (enriched) stars formed from material polluted by the ejecta of some first-generation (pristine) stars. Several scenarios have been put forward to explain the formation of second-generation stars, each proposing a different source for the polluting material. However, none of these scenarios is able to reproduce the observed detailed chemical properties of clusters, and their origin is thus still unknown (Gratton et al. 2012). Each one of the formation scenarios proposed so far should have imprinted a typical signature in the spatial distribution and kinematic properties of different populations, even though two-body relaxation determines a progressive mixing (Vesperini et al. 2013). In particular, observations show that enriched stars (i.e., with low O and high Na abundances) are more concentrated (Lardo et al. 2011) and characterized by a lower fraction of binaries (possibly linked to their high concentration at early epochs; Lucatello et al. 2015) with respect to pristine stars.

Recently, observational efforts have been dedicated to other systems, such as clusters in nearby galaxies and young stellar clusters (see Bastian and Lardo 2017), in order to determine the importance of the environment and of the epoch of formation of these systems in shaping their populations. Theoretical studies have also explored the dynamical properties of such multiple populations, in view of the possibility of using high-quality kinematics to unravel clues about their origin (e.g., Vesperini et al. 2013; Hénault-Brunet et al. 2015; Mastrobuono-Battisti and Perets 2013, 2016).

Li et al. (2016) reported an age difference of a few Myr among stellar populations in three clusters of the Magellanic Clouds (NGC 1783, NGC 1806, and NGC 411).

In the case of NGC 411, they have reported a reversed radial behaviour of the two populations with respect to what is observed for the majority of the Galactic GCs, with the enriched population dominating in the outskirts of the cluster. A minor merger (mass ratio less than 0.1) scenario seems to be appealing to reproduce this feature (see also Carretta et al. 2010; Amaro-Seoane et al. 2013; Gavagnin et al. 2016). (see for example the case of M15, Larsen et al. 2015).

Moving on to even younger systems, three discrete sequences have been recently discovered by Beccari et al. (2017) for pre-MS stars in the ONC. Among these populations, the older stars appear to be less concentrated than younger ones, and to rotate more slowly, hinting to a possible slowdown as they evolve. The origin of this discreteness is still uncertain. Several possible explanations have been proposed: the presence of unresolved binaries, rotation, or a genuine age difference. Only in the last case, the three sequences would correspond to different episodes of star formation.

The empirical characterization of the dynamics of the multiple population phenomenon is arousing progressively greater interest, and, when possible, attention is being devoted to the observational study of the phase space properties of distinct populations (Bellini et al. 2017, 2018; Cordero et al. 2017; Libralato et al. 2018; Milone et al. 2018, see also Sect. 2.1). One additional recent example is represented by the case of M54, for which MUSE observations (Alfaro-Cuello et al., in prep.) provided an exceptional sample of kinematic and chemical data, allowing the identification of two distinct stellar populations (see also Bellazzini et al. 2008), with different metallicities, velocity dispersion and possibly rotation profiles. Such an object is indeed particularly complex, not just because it might constitute a “link” between classical globulars and nuclear star clusters, but also given its proximity to Sagittarius, which makes the membership assessment particularly challenging (especially regarding possible contamination of the younger population).

7.4 Stellar populations in extra-galactic systems

Recently, much effort has been put also into the analysis of the dynamical and general properties of stellar populations in extra-Galactic environments. Full spectral synthesis analysis of nuclear star clusters (NSCs) in several galaxies reveals generally old ages for their stellar contents (~ 10 Gyr), with some evidence of prolonged star formation until the present day (Kacharov et al., in prep.). However, every NSC appears to be characterised by a complex star formation history (e.g., see the case of NGC 5102 and Sect. 8).

Simple population synthesis has been recently used also to trace back the properties of progenitors of GCs and ultra-compact dwarfs (Jerabkova et al. 2017). From these

preliminary studies it seems possible that these objects could be as bright as quasars at high redshifts and thus detectable with the upcoming JWST. In this regard, exciting evidence of young ($t_{\text{age}} < 100$ Myr), low-mass ($M < 10^7 M_{\odot}$) and low-metallicity ($Z < 0.1 Z_{\odot}$) stellar systems compatible with the expected properties of the progenitors of present-day GCs have been recently revealed at redshift $z \sim 3.2$ thanks to the high magnification produced by the gravitational lensing of the foreground galaxy cluster MACS J0416 (Vanzella et al. 2017).

Finally, considering GC systems as a whole, differences between the GC content of the Fornax and Virgo galaxy clusters are apparent, with GCs in the Virgo cluster being more concentrated, when observed in g -band, than those in Fornax, while the two distributions overlap when near-infrared luminosities are considered (Dabringhausen et al., in prep.).

8 Galactic centre and nuclear star clusters

8.1 The Milky Way nuclear star cluster

The supermassive black hole (SMBH) at the centre of our Galaxy ($M_{\text{SMBH}} \sim 4 \cdot 10^6 M_{\odot}$) is surrounded by a massive, very compact star cluster which is commonly referred to as the Milky Way nuclear stellar cluster (MWNSC), with structural properties that are reminiscent of a massive globular cluster. Due to its proximity, such a star cluster provides us with a wealth of data which are not available for extragalactic nuclei.

More generally, NSCs are very massive ($M_{\text{NSC}} \sim 10^{6-7} M_{\odot}$) and compact objects (radius $R_{\text{eff}} \sim 2-5$ pc), and the Milky Way NSC offers a representative example ($M_{\text{MWNSC}} \sim 2.1 \cdot 10^7 M_{\odot}$ and $R_{\text{MWNSC}}^{\text{eff}} \sim 4.2$ pc, see Schödel 2016). Interestingly, NSCs host both old and young stellar populations, and spectroscopy is needed in order to reliably differentiate them (Kacharov et al., in prep.).

Two main formation scenarios have been suggested: (1) gas infall and in-situ star formation (e.g., Milosavljević 2004; Bekki 2007); (2) accretion of GCs drifted into the Galactic centre via dynamical friction (e.g., Tremaine 1976; Capuzzo-Dolcetta 1993; Antonini et al. 2012). On one hand, the young stellar population of the NSC has a density profile which is inconsistent with infalling cluster hypothesis (Feldmeier-Krause et al. 2015). On the other hand, N -body simulations of the NSC formation from cluster infall give as result a system which is very similar to the MWNSC (similar mass, central BH mass, flattening ~ 0.7 , density profile and kinematic properties, see Tsatsi et al. 2017). The observational characterization and dynamical interpretation of kinematical substructures can help shedding light on the discrepancy between these two models (Feldmeier-Krause et al. 2015; Cole et al. 2017).

Furthermore, the MWNSC shows a spread in metallicity distribution (e.g., see Feldmeier-Krause et al. 2017).

The radial distribution of low metallicity stars is consistent with the one of higher metallicity (Do et al. 2017). The observed stellar metallicity in the MWNSC indicates that only a small fraction of stars have metallicity close to the one typical of GCs (Do et al. 2015), which suggests that in-situ star formation must have occurred. On the basis of these chemical and kinematic constraints, it appears that, at least for the MWNSC, the two formation channels are not mutually exclusive.

8.2 Galactic centre objects: G1 & G2

Since its discovery (Gillessen et al. 2012), the gas and dust cloud G2 orbiting Sgr A* has caught the attention of the astronomical community. The recently recognized objects G1 and G2 are self-luminous objects with cold dust photospheres. They follow eccentric orbits around the SMBH at the Galactic Centre, and come close enough at periape to suffer tidal removal of some of their extended material. Given that both G1 and G2 have survived their periape passages, it has been strongly suggested that they are stellar objects (e.g., see Witzel et al. 2014) rather than compact dust clouds (e.g., see Pfuhl et al. 2015), but their nature is still heavily debated.

On one hand these objects could be the result of relatively recent stellar mergers of binary pairs (Stephan et al. 2016) whose internal orbits underwent rapid evolution toward extremely high eccentricities in response to the gravitational influence of a third body, the Galactic SMBH. The mergers should equilibrate to normal single stars on a Kelvin–Helmholtz time scale, but such induced mergers should be sufficiently common that other G-type sources are likely present. On the other hand a compact source model, where G2 is the outflow from a mass-losing star, has been presented (Ballone et al. 2017). By means of 3D adaptive mesh refinement hydrodynamical simulations with the grid code PLUTO,^w this work provided a more detailed and realistic comparison to the observed position-velocity diagrams, showing that a slow (i.e., 50 km/s) outflow, with parameters roughly similar to those of a T Tauri star, can reproduce G2, and that in few years the central source should decouple from the previously ejected material.

8.3 Nuclear star clusters in external galaxies

Nuclear star clusters are a common feature in the majority of early- and late-type galaxies, with occupation fractions of $\gtrsim 60-70\%$ (e.g. Durrell 1997; Carollo et al. 1998; Böker et al. 2002, 2004). As for SMBHs, their masses correlate well with other properties of their host galaxies (such as velocity dispersion and total mass) possibly indicating a common evolutionary process for the host galaxy, the NSC, and the central BH; for this reason, often they are indistinctively referred to as Central Massive Objects (see e.g. Ferrarese et al. 2006).

Georgiev et al. (2016) explored scaling relations between NSC mass and host galaxy total stellar mass using a large sample of NSCs in late- and early type galaxies, including those hosting a central BH. They found differences in the slope of the correlation between NSCs in late-type and early-type galaxies among other trends depending on the galaxy type. This indicates possible different (ongoing) evolutionary processes in NSCs, depending on the host galaxy type.

9 Blue stragglers, stellar collisions, and their products

9.1 Detection of blue stragglers

Collisions involving unevolved main sequence (MS) stars or mass transfer in binaries with MS secondary components could lead to a rejuvenation of the resulting object (McCrea 1964), which will appear brighter and warmer than other MS stars (blue straggler stars; BSS).

From the observational point of view, the need of conducting a systematic analysis of the BSS population of GCs in ultraviolet bands, where they emit the largest fraction of their light, is emerging. This is particularly true in dense environments, where crowding effects reduce the photometric completeness of faint sources. For this reason, the contribution of the HST UV Legacy Survey (Piotto et al. 2004), thanks to the combined effect of high-resolution and UV sensitivity, appears as the most promising dataset to explore this population in the centre of Galactic GCs. However, future facilities providing similar performances will be needed in the near future when HST will end its mission.

While the main formation channels of BSS have been clearly identified (McCrea 1964) and their efficiency as a function of the main cluster parameters has been both explored observationally (Piotto et al. 2004) and interpreted in theoretical studies (Davies et al. 2004), still other observational evidence requires a proper interpretation. In particular, the evidence of parallel BSS sequences in the colour-magnitude diagram of M30 and a few other clusters (Ferraro et al. 2009; Dalessandro et al. 2013) still awaits for a comprehensive explanation (i.e., can BSS formed through different formation channels contribute to produce the claimed bimodality? see e.g. Xin et al. 2015; Jiang et al. 2017).

9.2 Blue stragglers as dynamical clocks

BSS are more than just exotic objects in a star cluster: their unique mass range and time scale of formation make them an ideal tool to study the dynamical state of their host systems. As a result of mass segregation process, BSS are often more centrally concentrated than other GC stars. Computing the radial distribution of BSSs in clusters with different dynamical ages, Ferraro et al. (2012) found that clusters can be categorised in three families based on their radial distribution profiles of BSSs. For the intermediate-age

GCs (family II) the BSS distribution exhibits a minimum at position r_{\min} which is directly correlated with the clusters relaxation time scales, making BSS distributions ideal observables for the cluster's dynamical age. However, a tension between observation and theory has been put forward regarding this bimodal radial distribution which is not expected according to extensive surveys of Monte Carlo simulations (Hypki and Giersz 2017).

Recently, Alessandrini et al. (2016) and Lanzoni et al. (2016) suggested $A+$ (i.e., the area between the cumulative radial distribution of BSS and that of a reference population) as a dynamical observable. This high-signal feature is easy to measure and does not require binning, making it a more stable observable than the radial distribution profile. $A+$ also shows the same strong correlation with the cluster's dynamical time scales (Ferraro et al. 2018a).

9.3 Simulations of stellar collisions

From the computational perspective, enormous progress has been made in the last years in the simulation of close interactions in star clusters. Still, direct integration of three-four body interactions, which are crucial to study the formation of such exotic species, severely slow down performances of all the N -body and Monte Carlo codes. This can constitute a bottleneck in particular when rare events (like BBH mergers and interactions between neutron stars) require simulations of real-size ($N > 10^6$) clusters.

Furthermore, while simple recipes are already included in all simulation codes (Hurley et al. 2001; Spera et al. 2015), the complex interplay between stellar and dynamical evolution is still far from being properly accounted with a fully consistent SPH-stellar evolution approach, in particular when binary stars are considered. Nevertheless, the development of computational environments where different codes simultaneously interact, allows today to move the first steps in facing complex collisional processes where N -body, gas accretion and UV radiation must be taken into account, e.g. to model the formation of very massive stars in the first stellar complexes (Hosokawa et al. 2012) or the evolution of triples in a common Roche lobe overflow phase (de Vries et al. 2014).

10 Compact objects in star clusters

10.1 Binaries in star clusters

X-ray sources are good tracers of compact binaries, especially low mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs), that are systems in which a compact object, i.e., a white dwarf (WD), a neutron star (NS) or a BH, accretes matter from a low-mass companion star. Accretion occurs through Roche-lobe overflow and disk formation around a compact object, or, in the case of red giants, wind-fed accretion partially captured by the compact object. NS binaries are mainly millisecond pulsars (MSPs), which form in dense clusters and are subsequently ejected as a consequence of tidal disruption and evaporation of the cluster (see e.g. Fragione

et al. 2018). WD binaries (WD-WD or WD-MS stars) can be found in nearby GCs.

In particular, WD-MS binaries are known as cataclysmic variables (CVs). The optimal way to identify possible CVs in GCs is by combining different techniques, to measure their optical variability, blue colour, $H\alpha$ excess, and X-ray emission (Knigge et al. 2011). Belloni et al. (2016) analysed the population of CVs in a sample of 12 GCs evolved with MOCCA, by considering two initial binary populations. They found that a population of CVs is mainly found in later stages of the evolution of GCs, and that selection effects can drastically limit the number of observable CVs. They also found that the probability of observing CVs during the outburst is extremely small, and they concluded that the best way of detecting such objects is by searching for variabilities during the quiescent phase. In addition, magnetic fields might be needed to explain the rare frequency of outbursts amongst bright CVs ($\sim 10\%$).

10.2 Stellar-mass black holes

A relevant aspect of the dynamics of stellar-mass BHs in dense stellar environments is related to the retention of massive objects in star clusters. Indeed, the retention of BHs (and NSs) can have a strong influence on the global evolution of globular clusters (e.g., see Breen and Hoggie 2013a; Contenta et al. 2015; Pavlík et al. 2018). Initial mass function and BH formation mechanisms (especially kicks) play a major role in determining the subsequent evolution of the BH population in a GC (Chatterjee 2016; Mandel and de Mink 2016), and a clear distinction is seen between the mass loss due to stellar evolution (connected with metallicity, Spera et al. 2016) and relaxation. BH subsystems can be formed and preserved in dense environments, provided the cluster has a sufficiently long relaxation time (Breen and Hoggie 2013a, 2013b).

Massive binary black holes (BBHs) are preferentially formed in low-metallicity and dynamically active stellar environment (e.g., see Mapelli 2016). Recent works carried out with Monte Carlo simulations pointed out that more massive clusters are more likely to trigger BBH mergers (Rodríguez et al. 2016a, 2016b) although these events may also take place in stellar systems with a lower density (such as open clusters, see Sect. 11). The recent detections of gravitational waves from merging BBH have the potential to revolutionize our understanding of compact object astrophysics, but to fully utilize this new window into the universe, these observations must be compared to detailed theoretical models of BBH formation throughout cosmic time. Tanikawa (2013) and, more recently, Fujii et al. (2017) calculated the detection rates of gravitational waves emitted from merging BBHs in star clusters modelled as direct N-body systems. Hurley et al. (2016) have also reported quantitative confirmation of the merging of two stellar-mass BHs in a binary system which was

dynamically formed in a moderately-sized direct N-body model. Merger rates determined on the basis of Monte Carlo modelling approaches have also been intensively explored (e.g., see Rodríguez et al. 2016b; Askar et al. 2017; Hong et al. 2018). More details are discussed in Sect. 11.

10.3 Intermediate-mass black holes

Intermediate-mass black holes (IMBHs) are defined as covering a mass range of 10^2 – $10^5 M_{\odot}$ and have become a promising field of research. With their existence it could be possible to explain the rapid growth of SMBHs, which are observed at high redshifts (Fan 2006), by assuming that IMBHs act as SMBH seeds (e.g., Ebisuzaki et al. 2001; Tanaka and Haiman 2009). Recent discoveries of black holes in the centres of dwarf galaxies (Reines and Volonteri 2015) have shown that the mass range between supermassive and stellar-mass black holes is by far not empty. However, whether or not IMBHs exist in ordinary GCs is still under debate.

Several formation scenarios have been proposed for these objects, but conclusive evidence on which one is preferred is still missing. Madau and Rees (2001) proposed that IMBHs could be the remnants of Population III stars, obtained after an evolution of a few Myr. However, if this is indeed the route to build up IMBHs, we should not expect them to be found in clusters of Population I stars. Portegies Zwart et al. (2004) suggested that an IMBH could be the end product of a runaway collision in the centre of star clusters. This process needs specific initial conditions, and requires the time scale of the mass segregation of the most massive stars to be shorter than the evolution time-scale for those stars, to avoid them to evolve before they start to collide. Recently, another scenario has been proposed, also indicating that these objects form in star clusters. Giersz et al. (2015) proposed that an IMBH is formed as a consequence of the build-up of BH mass due to mergers in dynamical interactions and mass transfers in binaries; in spite of the ones described before, this scenario does not require the onset of particular initial conditions, but the process of IMBH formation is highly stochastic. A larger formation probability is obtained for clusters with larger concentration, and for earlier and faster BH mass build-up. A great effort has been devoted to numerical simulations, not only to test these formation scenarios, but also to understand which properties of the host system are mainly determining the presence and the mass of an IMBH in their centre.

Direct detection of an IMBH is extremely challenging because GCs are almost gas free. Radio observations (Strader et al. 2012) of the cores of three Galactic GCs (M15, M19 and M22) do not unveil any compact source: this non-detection sets the upper limit of the masses of IMBHs in these systems to ~ 3 – $9 \times 10^2 M_{\odot}$. Such a result has been recently confirmed by a more extensive radio

continuum survey conducted on Galactic GCs (Tremou et al. 2018). These limits suggest that either IMBHs more massive than $10^3 M_{\odot}$ are rare in GCs, or that if they are present, they accrete in a very inefficient manner. On the other hand, an X-ray outburst has been detected in a star cluster located off-center of a large lenticular galaxy; such an event has been interpreted as providing strong evidence that the source contains an IMBH of $10^4 M_{\odot}$. Kains et al. (2016) proposed a different method to look for IMBHs in GCs, by means of gravitational microlensing. From a suite of simulations, they estimate the probabilities of detecting such an event for Galactic GCs: as an example, they consider M22, and conclude that if it hosts an IMBH with mass $10^5 M_{\odot}$, there is a probability of 86% of detecting an astrometric microlensing event over a baseline of 20 yr.

Nevertheless, even though direct detections of IMBHs are challenging, signatures of the presence of an IMBH are imprinted in the phase-space distribution of stars in its immediate surrounding (Bahcall and Wolf 1976). The effects of the presence of an IMBH on the structural and kinematic properties of the host star clusters have subsequently been explored in detail by means of direct N-body simulations (Baumgardt et al. 2004a, 2004b, 2005). In particular, two signatures are at the basis of the observational claims for the detection of IMBHs in Galactic GCs: the detection of a shallow cusp in the surface brightness profile (e.g., see Noyola et al. 2008) and the presence of a rise in the projected velocity dispersion profile towards the centre (e.g., see Anderson and van der Marel 2010; Lützgendorf et al. 2013b). However, these signatures can also be due to other processes: core collapse, mass segregation, or a population of binaries in the centre also produce a shallow cusp in the brightness profile, as shown with dedicated N-body simulations (Vesperini and Trenti 2010), and the central rise in the velocity dispersion profile is also not unique (Zocchi et al. 2017, 2018). The controversial case of ω Cen is an example of this degeneracy: isotropic spherical models only reproduce the observed rise in the projected velocity dispersion when a central IMBH of mass $\sim 4 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ is included (Noyola et al. 2008), while by comparing anisotropic models (with radial anisotropy in the core and tangential anisotropy in the outer parts) to proper motion measurements an upper limit to the IMBH mass of only $\sim 7 \times 10^3 M_{\odot}$ is obtained (van der Marel and Anderson 2010).

This degeneracy in the signatures of the presence of an IMBH has also been explored by means of numerical simulations. Lützgendorf et al. (2013a) presented a set of direct N-body simulations of GCs in an external tidal field, considering several values for IMBH masses, BHs retention fractions, and binary fractions. Their results show that the presence of an IMBH, or of a central population of binaries or stellar-mass BHs increases the escape rate of high-mass stars; these simulations show a good agreement

with observational mass functions and structural parameters of GCs. A similar result has been found by Arca-Sedda (2016), who proposed an analysis of numerical simulations showing that the excess of mass in the centre of a cluster could be due to the presence of a subsystem of heavy remnants orbitally segregated, instead of being due to an IMBH (see also Zocchi et al. 2018). Finally, the coexistence of an IMBH and of a population of stellar-mass BHs has been explored by Leigh et al. (2014), by means of direct N-body simulations.

In addition, some controversy has recently arisen when comparing data obtained by means of integrated light spectroscopy to measurements of line-of-sight velocities of single stars (see for example the emblematic case of NGC 6388, Lützgendorf et al. 2011, 2015; Lanzoni et al. 2013). Studies of mock observations of numerical simulations of star clusters with and without a central IMBH have been carried out to determine the magnitude of this effect. Bianchini et al. (2015) showed that luminosity-weighted IFU observations can be strongly biased by a few bright stars introducing a scatter in the measurement of the velocity dispersion up to $\sim 40\%$ around the expected value: this prevents any sound assessment of the central kinematics, and does not allow for an interpretation of the data in terms of the presence of a central IMBH. de Vita et al. (2017) estimated that in 20% of the cases the analysis of data did not allow for a statistically significant detection of IMBHs having mass equal to 3% of the mass of their host, because of shot noise due to bright stars close to the IMBH, and that when considering a smaller fractional mass for the IMBH (~ 0.1) the rate of non-detections corresponds to 75% of the cases. The combination of data from new-generation facilities (such as Gaia proper motions and MUSE spectroscopic data of the central regions of clusters) will provide further constraints to the GC dynamics, allowing us to determine if IMBHs are hiding in the cores of GCs, and how massive they are.

Leaving the uncertainties and difficulties of detecting IMBHs in GCs aside, other systems have proven to host IMBHs at their centre. In the last decade, detections of AGN in nearby dwarf galaxies have provided great evidence of the existence of SMBHs in the lower mass regime (e.g. Baldassare et al. 2015; Reines et al. 2011; Filippenko and Ho 2003; Barth et al. 2004; den Brok et al. 2015). Inspired by those recent discoveries, researchers have branched out to search for BHs in smaller stellar systems such as ultra compact dwarf galaxies (Seth et al. 2014) and even our closest neighbour, the Large Magellanic Cloud (Boyce et al. 2017).

In addition, there have been recent suggestions of the presence of candidate IMBHs embedded in gas clouds in the region of our Galactic Centre, as based on emissions in the millimetre (Oka et al. 2016, 2017) and infra-red bands (Tsuboi et al. 2017). More generally in this context, a powerful diagnostic tool is offered by the phenomenology of

tidal disruption events. Indeed, there have been recent reports of events that may involve IMBHs (Lin et al. 2018; Kuin et al. 2018), with fresh developments also on the theoretical side (e.g., see Rosswog et al. 2009; Tanikawa 2018; Anninos et al. 2018).

Over the next years, this spectrum of studies will greatly contribute in filling the lower mass range of the BH mass/host galaxy property relations and enhance our understanding of BH evolution and occupation fractions.

11 Astrophysical sources of gravitational waves

Currently, the detection by LIGO of GWs emitted by systems identified as coalescing binary black holes (BBH) suggests that these systems are primary sources of GW emission (Abbott et al. 2016b, 2017a, 2017b, 2017c). This long-awaited empirical evidence has immediately sparked a debate of great theoretical importance to understand the origins of these BBH systems and to predict their frequencies over cosmic time. So far, two main formation channels have been proposed: dynamical interactions in dense stellar environment (Portegies Zwart and McMillan 2000) and evolution of isolated stellar binaries (Belczynski et al. 2002).

Stellar environments may also play an important role in shaping the properties of the merger. Using three-body scattering experiments to model the scattering process between stars and BBHs, Rasskazov and Merritt (2017) suggest that galactic nuclei may harbour supermassive BBHs. Systems in that mass class are expected to be primary sources for GW emission, especially in the detection range relevant for the upcoming LISA observatory. Similarly, Antonini and Rasio (2016) and Bartos et al. (2017) suggest that the densest population of stellar-mass BHs is expected to be found in galactic nuclei. A fraction ($\sim 30\%$) of these BHs can reside in binaries.

An extensive survey of simulations, carried out with the MOCCA code (see Sect. 3), followed the long-term evolution of GCs, assessed the retention of their stellar-mass BHs, and allowed to estimate the local merger rate densities for the BBHs originating from GCs (Askar et al. 2017; Hong et al. 2018). Detection rates of BBH mergers have been determined also on the basis of direct N -body models (Tanikawa 2013; Fujii et al. 2017). In other models with an IMBH, two-body collisions between an IMBH and a stellar mass BH are very frequent (Leigh et al. 2014; Morawski et al. 2018).

Although open clusters and young massive clusters, in general, produce less binary BH mergers per cluster than the GCs, they are more numerous, which makes them a competitive formation environment (Banerjee 2017a). By means of N -body simulations carried out with the code NBODY7, (Banerjee 2017b) studied the evolution of BBH populations in young massive and starburst clusters estimating the merger rate for each model. Their overall con-

tribution to the observable BBH inspiral rate in the universe could be at least comparable to that from classical GCs, thereby potentially favouring the BBH detection rate from the dynamical channel. In addition, this study shows a tendency of the BBHs to coalesce within the clusters. In particular, the general-relativistic BBH mergers continue to be mostly mediated by triples that are bound to the clusters, rather than happen among the ejected BBHs. In fact, the number of such in-situ BBH mergers, per cluster, tend to increase with the introduction of a small population of primordial stellar binaries. These BBH mergers are well consistent with the LIGO detection window and suggest a full-sensitivity LIGO detection rate of up to hundreds of BBH mergers from stellar clusters, per year. Rastello et al. (in prep.) also studied, by means of N -body simulations carried out with NBODY7, the evolution of massive BBHs (of the class expected to be detectable by LIGO) in open clusters ($10^2 - 10^3 M_{\odot}$), deriving a merger rate of the order of $2.1 \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ Gpc}^{-3}$. Most importantly, it has been recently shown that general relativistic corrections are crucial to appropriately assess the rates of mergers of BBH on eccentric orbits (Samsing and Ramirez-Ruiz 2017; Samsing 2018).

Concerning the origin of other specific LIGO events, (Belczynski et al. 2017) argues that GW170104 (Abbott et al. 2017a) may be formed via classical isolated binary evolution. The DRAGON simulations (Wang et al. 2016), which follow the dynamical evolution of a globular cluster ($N = 10^6$) using state-of-the-art high-performance GPUs and post-Newtonian treatment for BH binaries, found black hole mergers producing waveforms similar to GW150914 (Abbott et al. 2016b). The results of Park et al. (2017), who also performed direct N -body simulations, suggest that GW150914 is the consequence of a dynamically formed BBH. We are, therefore, just scratching the surface of the theoretical implications of the first LIGO detections.

12 Conclusions

With their elegant analogy to the classical gravitational N -body problem and tantalizing access to their resolved stellar populations, star clusters have been a prime target for both theoretical and observational astronomers. Resting on such a distinguished legacy, they have often been considered as a “solved problem” in astronomical research, but, in fact, a series of recent discoveries about their chemical, kinematic and structural properties have revolutionised our traditional picture of star clusters, making them baffling chemodynamical puzzles, prolific black hole cradles, precious galactic beacons, and even unexpected tools for galaxy evolution and near-field cosmology.

Now, standing at the crossroads of Gaia’s blooming era of “precision astrometry” and LIGO’s revolutionary beginnings of “gravitational wave astronomy”, the rich internal

dynamics of star clusters truly brings them back to the centre stage, and the surprises are far from over.

We leave to the interested reader to compare our current understanding of these topics with the open questions (and their envisaged timeline) identified more than a decade ago by Davies et al. (2006) and to assess the progress made in each frontier area. Many milestones have been achieved, especially on the computational side, thanks to the development of numerical algorithms which enabled us to finally “solve” the gravitational N -body problem (Aarseth 2003; Nitadori and Aarseth 2012; Wang et al. 2016; Heggie 2014), at least in the sense of following the entire evolution of models of individual clusters, with a realistic number of particles and fundamental internal and external effects. One of the original goals of providing a numerical framework for realistic simulations of the many aspects of the evolution of dense stellar systems^x is about to be fulfilled (Portegies Zwart and McMillan 2018). But many new challenges have also emerged, mostly driven by the outstanding progress on the observational side. We do not dare providing a comprehensive list, but we nonetheless wish to conclude by highlighting some pressing questions which have emerged during the 17th annual meeting of the MODEST community:

- How can we leverage the emerging phase space richness of old globular clusters to decipher the dynamical signatures of their formation and evolutionary processes?
- Which is the best numerical approach to efficiently, yet accurately, attack the “post-million body problem”?
- How can we explore, from first principles, the multi-scale, multi-physics problem of the formation of globular clusters in a cosmological context?
- What is the role of star cluster environments in shaping the dynamical evolution of planetary systems?
- How can we bridge the gap between the current knowledge of star formation processes, the origin of the first stellar aggregates, and their subsequent long-term evolution?
- How can we exploit at best the synergy between Gaia, LIGO, JWST and other upcoming facilities to finally unveil when, where, and how globular clusters formed?
- What is the physical origin of multiple stellar populations in globular clusters?
- What is the nature of the complex nexus between globular and nuclear star clusters and their formation channels?
- How can we observationally characterise and dynamically interpret the role of dark remnants in the evolution of collisional systems?
- Do globular clusters really harbour intermediate-mass black holes, and, in such a case, how did they form?
- What is the origin of the stellar-mass black holes binary revealed by the first LIGO events?

The MODEST-17 conference has provided evidence that the community invested in “Modelling and Observing DENSE STellar systems” is creative, active, collaborative and diverse. Since its early years, such a group of researchers has much evolved, in size and scope, but the overarching goal of continuing to explore the richness of collisional stellar dynamics with a multi-disciplinary approach is more timely than ever. As the broader astrophysical community is progressively appreciating the many roles played by star clusters throughout cosmic time (e.g., as contributors to the reionisation epoch, tracers of galaxy formation, cradles of gravitational wave sources), an additional challenge for the MODEST collaboration will be to find new synergies with appropriate theoretical and observational research communities, in order to formulate a comprehensive, modern view of these fascinating stellar systems in the evolving landscape of contemporary astrophysics.

Acknowledgements

We are most grateful to Ladislav Šubr, Jaroslav Haas, Pavel Kroupa and all SOC and LOC members for an excellent conference programme, engaging scientific environment, and their warm welcome to Prague. Support from Charles University, Prague, where MODEST-17 was held, is also gratefully acknowledged. We wish to thank especially Simon Portegies Zwart and Ladislav Šubr for proposing and providing stimulus and support to the publication of this review, and Melvyn Davies, Francesco Ferraro, Rainer Spurzem for their early encouragement. The title of the manuscript has been suggested by Simon Portegies Zwart. We are very grateful to the Referees, Douglas Heggie and two anonymous researchers, for taking the time to read the manuscript, offer accurate comments and suggest pertinent references, as well as the Editor, Eiichiro Kokubo, for overseeing the review process. We acknowledge the input provided by all MODEST-17 participants, and, more generally, by the members of the MODEST community. While preparing this manuscript, we made intensive use of NASA’s Astrophysics Data System and the arXiv e-print repository.

Funding

ALV acknowledges support from a Marie Skłodowska-Curie Fellowship (MSCA-IF-EFRI NESSY 658088) and the Institute for Astronomy at the University of Edinburgh; MXC from the Poles Programme (initiated by the Belgian Science Policy Office, IAP P7/08 CHARM) and the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 671564 (COMPAT project); FD from the DFG Priority Programme 1573 “The physics of the interstellar medium”; VP from Charles University, grants SVV-260441 and GAUK-186216; SR from Sapienza, University of Rome, grant AR11715C7F89F177; AZ from Royal Society (Newton International Fellowship Follow-up Funding). These funding bodies had no direct role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Abbreviations

AMUSE, Astrophysical Multipurpose Software Environment; ATHENA, Advanced Telescope for High-ENERgy Astrophysics; BBH, Binary Black Hole; BH, Black Hole; BSS, Blue Straggler Star; CGM, Circum-Galactic Medium; CMC, Cluster Monte Carlo; CMD, Color Magnitude Diagram; CPU, Central Processing Unit; CV, Cataclismic Variable; DR, Data Release; EAGLE, Evolution and Assembly of Galaxies and their Environments; E-MOSAICS, MOdelling Star cluster population Assembly In Cosmological Simulations within EAGLE; ESA, European Space Agency; ESO, European Southern Observatory; FIRE, Feedback In Realistic Environments; GC, Globular Cluster; GMT, Giant Magellan Telescope; GPU, Graphics Processing Unit; GW, Gravitational Waves; HST, Hubble Space Telescope; IFU, Integrated Field Unit; IMBH, Intermediate-Mass Black Hole; IMF, Initial Mass Function; IGM, Inter-Galactic Medium; ISM, Inter-Stellar Medium; JWST, James Webb Space Telescope; KAGRA, KAMIoka GRAvitational Wave

Detector; LAMOST, Large Sky Area Multi-Object Fibre Spectroscopic Telescope; LIGO, Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory; LISA, Laser Interferometer Space Antenna; LMXB, Low-Mass X-ray Binaries; M/L, Mass-to-light ratio; MYStIX, Massive Young Star-Forming Complex Study in Infrared and X-ray; MF, Mass Function; MOCCA, MOnte Carlo Cluster simulator; MODEST, Modeling and Observing DENSE STellar systems; MPI, Message Passing Interface; MS, Main Sequence; MSP, Milli-Second Pulsar; MUSE, Multi-Unit Spectroscopic Explorer; MW, Milky Way; MWNSC, Milky Way Nuclear Star Cluster; NASA, National Aeronautics and Space Administration; NS, Neutron Star; NSC, Nuclear Star Clusters; ONC, Orion Nebula Cloud; P³T, Particle-Particle Particle-Tree; RAVE, RAdial Velocity Experiment; SPARC-SC, Symplectic Particle tree and Algorithmic Regularization Code for Star Clusters; SDSS, Sloan Digital Sky Survey; SIMD, Single Instruction Multiple Data Extensions; SMBH, Super-Massive Black Hole; SSE, Streaming SIMD Extensions; TGAS, Tycho-Gaia Astrometric Solution; UCD, Ultra-Compact Dwarf Galaxy; UV, Ultra Violet; WD, White Dwarf.

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors are equal contributors, hence are listed in alphabetical order; ALV coordinated the manuscript writing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom. ²Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands. ³I. Physikalisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, Köln, Germany. ⁴European Space Agency, c/o STScI, Baltimore, United States. ⁵Astronomical Institute, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic. ⁶Observatory and Planetarium of Prague, Prague, Czech Republic. ⁷Department of Physics, Sapienza-Università di Roma, Roma, Italy. ⁸Osservatorio di Astrofisica e Scienza dello Spazio, Bologna, Italy. ⁹RIKEN Advanced Institute for Computational Science, Kobe, Japan. ¹⁰Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università degli Studi di Bologna, Bologna, Italy. ¹¹Scientific Support Office, Directorate of Science and Robotic Exploration, European Space Research and Technology Centre (ESA/ESTEC), Noordwijk, The Netherlands.

Endnotes

- ^a The original MODEST mission statement and the description of many initiatives are recorded at <http://www.manybody.org/modest/>
- ^b <https://modest17.cuni.cz/>
- ^c The electronic version of the book of abstracts, which includes also almost all posters, is available at: <https://modest17.cuni.cz/doc/modest17-boa.pdf>
- ^d <http://flash.uchicago.edu/>
- ^e <https://github.com/florentrenaud/nbody6tt>
- ^f <https://github.com/JamesAPetts/NBODY6df>
- ^g <https://github.com/nbodyx/Nbody6ppGPU>
- ^h https://modest17.cuni.cz/doc/nbody_discussion_nup.pdf
- ⁱ <https://github.com/nbodyx/Nbody6/wiki>
- ^j <https://github.com/nbodyx>
- ^k <https://github.com/amusecode/amuse>
- ^l <https://moccacode.net/>
- ^m PhaseFlow is provided within the Agama library by Vasiliev (2018a), available at <https://github.com/GalacticDynamics-Oxford/Agama>.
- ⁿ <https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia>
- ^o <https://www.gaia-eso.eu/>
- ^p <https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dr2>
- ^q <https://www.ligo.org/>
- ^r Kamioka Gravitational Wave Detector, <http://gwcenter.icrr.u-tokyo.ac.jp/en/>

^s <http://sci.esa.int/lisa-pathfinder/>

^t Laser Interferometer Space Antenna, to be launched in 2034, <http://sci.esa.int/lisa/>

^u <https://wwwastro.msfc.nasa.gov/lynx/>

^v ATHENA, Advanced Telescope for High-ENERgy Astrophysics, is an ESA mission to be launched in 2028; <http://sci.esa.int/athena/>.

^w <http://plutocode.ph.unito.it/>

^x <http://www.manybody.org/modest/>

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 25 July 2018 Accepted: 21 October 2018

Published online: 06 November 2018

References

Aarseth, S.J.: Gravitational N-Body Simulations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003)

Aarseth, S.J., Zare, K.: A regularization of the three-body problem. *Celest. Mech.* **10**, 185–205 (1974)

Abbott, B.P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T.D., Abernathy, M.R., Acernese, F., Ackley, K., Adams, C., Adams, T., Addesso, P., Adhikari, R.X., et al.: Binary black hole mergers in the first advanced LIGO observing run. *Phys. Rev. X* **6**(4), 041015 (2016a) [arXiv:1606.04856](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.04856)

Abbott, B.P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T.D., Abernathy, M.R., Acernese, F., Ackley, K., Adams, C., Adams, T., Addesso, P., Adhikari, R.X., et al.: Observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole merger. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**(6), 061102 (2016b) [arXiv:1602.03837](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.03837)

The LIGO Scientific Collaboration, the Virgo Collaboration, Abbott, B.P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T.D., Acernese, F., Ackley, K., Adams, C., Adams, T., Addesso, P., et al.: GW170814: a three-detector observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole coalescence (2017). ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1709.09660](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.09660)

Abbott, B.P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T.D., Acernese, F., Ackley, K., Adams, C., Adams, T., Addesso, P., Adhikari, R.X., Adya, V.B., et al.: GW170104: observation of a 50-solar-mass binary black hole coalescence at Redshift 0.2. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**(22), 221101 (2017a) [arXiv:1706.01812](https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.01812)

Abbott, B.P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T.D., Acernese, F., Ackley, K., Adams, C., Adams, T., Addesso, P., Adhikari, R.X., Adya, V.B., et al.: GW170608: observation of a 19 solar-mass binary black hole coalescence. *Acad. Publ. J.* **851**, L35 (2017b) [arXiv:1711.05578](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.05578)

Abbott, B.P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T.D., Acernese, F., Ackley, K., Adams, C., Adams, T., Addesso, P., Adhikari, R.X., Adya, V.B., et al.: GW170814: a three-detector observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole coalescence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**(14), 141101 (2017c) [arXiv:1709.09660](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.09660)

Adams, F.C., Proszkow, E.M., Fatuzzo, M., Myers, P.C.: Early evolution of stellar groups and clusters: environmental effects on forming planetary systems. *Acad. Publ. J.* **641**, 504–525 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0512330](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0512330)

Ahmad, A., Cohen, L.: A numerical integration scheme for the N-body gravitational problem. *J. Comput. Phys.* **12**, 389–402 (1973)

Alessandrini, E., Lanzoni, B., Ferraro, F.R., Miocchi, P., Vesperini, E.: Investigating the mass segregation process in globular clusters with blue straggler stars: the impact of dark remnants. *Acad. Publ. J.* **833**, 252 (2016) [arXiv:1610.04562](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.04562)

Amaro-Seoane, P., Konstantinidis, S., Brem, P., Catelan, M.: Mergers of multimetallic globular clusters: the role of dynamics. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **435**, 809–821 (2013) [arXiv:1108.5173](https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.5173)

Anderson, J., van der Marel, R.P.: New limits on an intermediate-mass black hole in Omega Centauri. I. Hubble space telescope photometry and proper motions. *Acad. Publ. J.* **710**, 1032–1062 (2010) [arXiv:0905.0627](https://arxiv.org/abs/0905.0627)

Anderson, K.R., Adams, F.C., Calvet, N.: Viscous evolution and photoevaporation of circumstellar disks due to external far ultraviolet radiation fields. *Acad. Publ. J.* **774**, 9 (2013) [arXiv:1307.4368](https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.4368)

André, P.: The Herschel view of star formation. *Highlights Astron.* **16**, 31–48 (2015) [arXiv:1309.7762](https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.7762)

Anninos, P., Fragile, P.C., Olivier, S.S., Hoffman, R., Mishra, B., Camarda, K.: Relativistic tidal disruption and nuclear ignition of white dwarf stars by intermediate mass black holes (2018). ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1808.05664](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.05664)

- Antonini, F., Capuzzo-Dolcetta, R., Mastrobuono-Battisti, A., Merritt, D.: Dissipationless formation and evolution of the Milky Way nuclear star cluster. *Acad. Publ. J.* **750**, 111 (2012) [arXiv:1110.5937](#)
- Antonini, F., Rasio, F.A.: Merging black hole binaries in galactic nuclei: implications for advanced-LIGO detections. *Acad. Publ. J.* **831**, 187 (2016) [arXiv:1606.04889](#)
- Arca-Sedda, M.: On the formation of compact, massive subsystems in stellar clusters and its relation with intermediate-mass black holes. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **455**, 35–50 (2016) [arXiv:1502.01242](#)
- Arzoumanian, D., André, P., Didelon, P., Könyves, V., Schneider, N., Men'shchikov, A., Soubie, T., Zavagno, A., Bontemps, S., di Francesco, J., Griffin, M., Hennemann, M., Hill, T., Kirk, J., Martin, P., Minier, V., Molinari, S., Motte, F., Peretto, N., Pezzuto, S., Spinoglio, L., Ward-Thompson, D., White, G., Wilson, C.D.: Characterizing interstellar filaments with Herschel in IC 5146. *Astron. Astrophys.* **529**, L6 (2011) [arXiv:1103.0201](#)
- Arzoumanian, D., André, P., Peretto, N., Könyves, V.: Formation and evolution of interstellar filaments. Hints from velocity dispersion measurements. *Astron. Astrophys.* **553**, A119 (2013) [arXiv:1303.3024](#)
- Askar, A., Szkudlarek, M., Gondek-Rosińska, D., Giersz, M., Bulik, T.: MOCCA-SURVEY database—I. Coalescing binary black holes originating from globular clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **464**, L36–L40 (2017) [arXiv:1608.02520](#)
- Gaia Collaboration, Babusiaux, C., van Leeuwen, F., Barstow, M.A., Jordi, C., Vallenari, A., Bossini, D., Bressan, A., Cantat-Gaudin, T., van Leeuwen, M., et al.: Gaia Data Release 2: observational Hertzsprung–Russell diagrams (2018a). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1804.09378](#)
- Bahcall, J.N., Wolf, R.A.: Star distribution around a massive black hole in a globular cluster. *Acad. Publ. J.* **209**, 214–232 (1976)
- Baldassare, V.F., Reines, A.E., Gallo, E., Greene, J.E.: A $\sim 50,000$ solar mass black hole in the nucleus of RGG 118. *Acad. Publ. J.* **809**, L14 (2015) [arXiv:1506.07531](#)
- Ballone, A., Scharfmann, M., Burkert, A., Gillissen, S., Plewa, P.M., Genzel, R., Pfuhl, O., Eisenhauer, F., Habibi, M., Ott, T., George, E.M.: 3D AMR hydro simulations of a compact source scenario for the Galactic Centre cloud G2 (2017). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1706.08547](#)
- Banerjee, S.: Stellar-mass black holes in young massive and open stellar clusters and their role in gravitational-wave generation. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **467**, 524–539 (2017a) [arXiv:1611.09357](#)
- Banerjee, S.: Stellar-mass black holes in young massive and open stellar clusters and their role in gravitational-wave generation II (2017b). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1707.00922](#)
- Banerjee, S., Kroupa, P.: The formation of NGC 3603 young starburst cluster: 'prompt' hierarchical assembly or monolithic starburst? *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **447**, 728–746 (2015) [arXiv:1412.1473](#)
- Barnes, J., Hut, P.: A hierarchical $O(N \log N)$ force-calculation algorithm. *Nature* **324**, 446–449 (1986)
- Barth, A.J., Ho, L.C., Rutledge, R.E., Sargent, W.L.W.: POX 52: a dwarf Seyfert 1 galaxy with an intermediate-mass black hole. *Acad. Publ. J.* **607**, 90–102 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0402110](#)
- Bartos, I., Kocsis, B., Haiman, Z., Márka, S.: Rapid and bright stellar-mass binary black hole mergers in active galactic nuclei. *Acad. Publ. J.* **835**, 165 (2017) [arXiv:1602.03831](#)
- Bastian, N., Lardo, C.: Multiple stellar populations in globular clusters (2017). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1712.01286](#)
- Baumgardt, H., Makino, J., Ebisuzaki, T.: Massive black holes in star clusters. I. Equal-mass clusters. *Acad. Publ. J.* **613**, 1133–1142 (2004a) [arXiv:astro-ph/0406227](#)
- Baumgardt, H., Makino, J., Ebisuzaki, T.: Massive black holes in star clusters. II. Realistic cluster models. *Acad. Publ. J.* **613**, 1143–1156 (2004b) [arXiv:astro-ph/0406231](#)
- Baumgardt, H., Makino, J., Hut, P.: Which globular clusters contain intermediate-mass black holes? *Acad. Publ. J.* **620**, 238–243 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0410597](#)
- Baumgardt, H., Sollima, A.: The global mass functions of 35 galactic globular clusters—II. Clues on the initial mass function and black hole retention fraction. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **472**, 744–750 (2017) [arXiv:1708.09530](#)
- Beccari, G., Petr-Gotzens, M.G., Boffin, H.M.J., Romaniello, M., Fedele, D., Carraro, G., De Marchi, G., de Wit, W.J., Drew, J.E., Kalari, V.M., Manara, C.F., Martin, E.L., Mieske, S., Panagia, N., Testi, L., Vink, J.S., Walsh, J.R., Wright, N.J.: A tale of three cities. OmegaCAM discovers multiple sequences in the color-magnitude diagram of the Orion Nebula Cluster. *Astron. Astrophys.* **604**, A22 (2017) [arXiv:1705.09496](#)
- Bekki, K.: The formation of stellar galactic nuclei through dissipative gas dynamics. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Aust.* **24**, 77–94 (2007)
- Belczynski, K., Kalogera, V., Bulik, T.: A comprehensive study of binary compact objects as gravitational wave sources: evolutionary channels, rates, and physical properties. *Acad. Publ. J.* **572**, 407–431 (2002) [arXiv:astro-ph/0111452](#)
- Belczynski, K., Klencki, J., Meynet, G., Fryer, C.L., Brown, D.A., Chruslinska, M., Gladysz, W., O'Shaughnessy, R., Bulik, T., Berti, E., Holz, D.E., Gerosa, D., Giersz, M., Ekstrom, S., Georgy, C., Askar, A., Lasota, J.P., Wysocki, D.: GW170104 and the origin of heavy, low-spin binary black holes via classical isolated binary evolution (2017). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1706.07053](#)
- Bellazzini, M., Ibata, R.A., Chapman, S.C., Mackey, A.D., Monaco, L., Irwin, M.J., Martin, N.F., Lewis, G.F., Dalessandro, E.: The nucleus of the Sagittarius Dwarf galaxy and M54: a window on the process of galaxy nucleation. *Astron. J.* **136**, 1147–1170 (2008) [arXiv:0807.0105](#)
- Bellini, A., Bianchini, P., Varri, A.L., Anderson, J., Piotto, G., van der Marel, R.P., Vesperini, E., Watkins, L.L.: Hubble space telescope proper motion (HSTPROMO) catalogs of galactic globular clusters. V. The rapid rotation of 47 Tuc traced and modeled in three dimensions. *Acad. Publ. J.* **844**, 167 (2017) [arXiv:1706.08974](#)
- Bellini, A., Libralato, M., Bedin, L.R., Milone, A.P., van der Marel, R.P., Anderson, J., Apai, D., Burgasser, A.J., Marino, A.F., Rees, J.M.: The HST large programme on ω Centauri. II. Internal kinematics. *Acad. Publ. J.* **853**, 86 (2018) [arXiv:1801.01504](#)
- Belloni, D., Giersz, M., Askar, A., Leigh, N., Hypki, A.: MOCCA-SURVEY database I. Accreting white dwarf binary systems in globular clusters—I. Cataclysmic variables—present-day population. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **462**, 2950–2969 (2016) [arXiv:1607.07619](#)
- Bianchini, P., Norris, M.A., van de Ven, G., Schinnerer, E.: Understanding the central kinematics of globular clusters with simulated integrated-light IFU observations. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **453**, 365–376 (2015) [arXiv:1507.05632](#)
- Bianchini, P., Norris, M.A., van de Ven, G., Schinnerer, E., Bellini, A., van der Marel, R.P., Watkins, L.L., Anderson, J.: The effect of unresolved binaries on globular cluster proper-motion dispersion profiles. *Acad. Publ. J.* **820**, L22 (2016) [arXiv:1603.02280](#)
- Bianchini, P., Sills, A., van de Ven, G., Sippel, A.C.: The relation between the mass-to-light ratio and the relaxation state of globular clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **469**, 4359–4369 (2017) [arXiv:1705.02310](#)
- Bianchini, P., van der Marel, R.P., del Pino, A., Watkins, L.L., Bellini, A., Fardal, M.A., Libralato, M., Sills, A.: The internal rotation of globular clusters revealed by Gaia DR2 (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1806.02580](#)
- Boekholt, T.C.N., Stutz, A.M., Fellhauer, M., Schleicher, D.R.G., Matus Carrillo, D.R.: Dynamical ejections of stars due to an accelerating gas filament. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **471**, 3590–3598 (2017) [arXiv:1704.00720](#)
- Böker, T., Laine, S., van der Marel, R.P., Sarzi, M., Rix, H.W., Ho, L.C., Shields, J.C.: A Hubble space telescope census of nuclear star clusters in late-type spiral galaxies. I. Observations and image analysis. *Astron. J.* **123**, 1389–1410 (2002) [arXiv:astro-ph/0112086](#)
- Böker, T., Sarzi, M., McLaughlin, D.E., van der Marel, R.P., Rix, H.W., Ho, L.C., Shields, J.C.: A Hubble space telescope census of nuclear star clusters in late-type spiral galaxies. II. Cluster sizes and structural parameter correlations. *Astron. J.* **127**, 105–118 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0309761](#)
- Bonnell, I.A., Bate, M.R., Clarke, C.J., Pringle, J.E.: Accretion and the stellar mass spectrum in small clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **285**, 201–208 (1997)
- Bonnell, I.A., Larson, R.B., Zinnecker, H.: The origin of the initial mass function. In: *Protostars and Planets V*, pp. 149–164 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0603447](#)
- Boubert, D., Guillochon, J., Hawkins, K., Ginsburg, I., Evans, N.W.: Revisiting hypervelocity stars after Gaia DR2 (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1804.10179](#)
- Boyce, H., Lützgendorf, N., van der Marel, R.P., Baumgardt, H., Kissler-Patig, M., Neumayer, N., de Zeeuw, P.T.: An upper limit on the mass of a central black hole in the large Magellanic cloud from the stellar rotation field. *Acad. Publ. J.* **846**, 14 (2017) [arXiv:1612.00045](#)
- Breen, P.G., Heggie, D.C.: Dynamical evolution of black hole subsystems in idealized star clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **432**, 2779–2797 (2013a) [arXiv:1304.3401](#)
- Breen, P.G., Heggie, D.C.: On black hole subsystems in idealized nuclear star clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **436**, 584–589 (2013b) [arXiv:1308.4641](#)
- Breen, P.G., Varri, A.L., Heggie, D.C.: The kinematic richness of star clusters—I. Isolated spherical models with primordial anisotropy. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **471**, 2778–2789 (2017) [arXiv:1707.02792](#)

- Breslau, A., Steinhausen, M., Vincke, K., Pflanzner, S.: Sizes of protoplanetary discs after star-disc encounters. *Astron. Astrophys.* **565**, A130 (2014) [arXiv:1403.8099](#)
- Cabrera-Ziri, I., Niederhofer, F., Bastian, N., Rejkuba, M., Balbinot, E., Kerzendorf, W.E., Larsen, S.S., Mackey, A.D., Dalessandro, E., Mucciarelli, A., Charbonnel, C., Hilker, M., Gieles, M., Hénauld-Brunet, V.: No evidence for younger stellar generations within the intermediate-age massive clusters NGC 1783, NGC 1806 and NGC 411. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **459**, 4218–4223 (2016) [arXiv:1604.06106](#)
- Cai, M.X., Kouwenhoven, M.B.N., Portegies Zwart, S.F., Spurzem, R.: Stability of multiplanetary systems in star clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **470**, 4337–4353 (2017) [arXiv:1706.03789](#)
- Cai, M.X., Portegies Zwart, S., van Elteren, A.: The signatures of the parental cluster on field planetary systems. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **474**, 5114–5121 (2018) [arXiv:1711.01274](#)
- Capuzzo-Dolcetta, R.: The evolution of the globular cluster system in a triaxial galaxy: can a galactic nucleus form by globular cluster capture? *Acad. Publ. J.* **415**, 616 (1993) [arXiv:astro-ph/9301006](#)
- Carlberg, R.G.: Globular clusters in a cosmological N-body simulation. *Acad. Publ. J.* **861**, 69 (2018) [arXiv:1706.01938](#)
- Carollo, C.M., Stiavelli, M., Mack, J.: Spiral galaxies with WFPC2. II. The nuclear properties of 40 objects. *Astron. J.* **116**, 68–84 (1998) [arXiv:astro-ph/9804007](#)
- Carretta, E., Bragaglia, A., Gratton, R.G., Lucatello, S., Catanzaro, G., Leone, F., Bellazzini, M., Claudi, R., D’Orazi, V., Momany, Y., Ortolani, S., Pancino, E., Piotto, G., Recio-Blanco, A., Sabbi, E.: Na–O anticorrelation and HB VII. The chemical composition of first and second-generation stars in 15 globular clusters from GIRAFFE spectra. *Astron. Astrophys.* **505**, 117–138 (2009) [arXiv:0909.2938](#)
- Carretta, E., Gratton, R.G., Lucatello, S., Bragaglia, A., Catanzaro, G., Leone, F., Momany, Y., D’Orazi, V., Cassisi, S., D’Antona, F., Ortolani, S.: Abundances for a large sample of red giants in NGC 1851: hints for a merger of two clusters? *Acad. Publ. J.* **722**, L1–L6 (2010) [arXiv:1007.5301](#)
- Chabrier, G.: Galactic stellar and substellar initial mass function. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.* **115**, 763–795 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0304382](#)
- Chambers, J.E.: A hybrid symplectic integrator that permits close encounters between massive bodies. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **304**, 793–799 (1999)
- Chatterjee, S.: Identifying globular clusters hosting large numbers of black holes (2016). HST Proposal
- Chaves-Velasquez, L., Patsis, P.A., Puerari, I., Skokos, C., Manos, T.: Boxy orbital structures in rotating bar models. *Acad. Publ. J.* **850**, 145 (2017)
- Claydon, I., Gieles, M., Zocchi, A.: The properties of energetically unbound stars in stellar clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **466**, 3937–3950 (2017) [arXiv:1612.02253](#)
- Clementini, G., Ripepi, V., Molinaro, R., Garofalo, A., Muraveva, T., Rimoldini, L., Guy, L.P., Jevardat de Fombelle, G., Nienartowicz, K., Audard, M., Holl, B., Leccia, S., Marconi, M., Musella, I., Mowlavi, N., Lecoœur-Taibi, I., Eyer, L., De Ridder, J., Regibo, S., Sarro, L.M., Szabados, L., Evans, D.W., Riello, M.: Gaia Data Release 2: specific characterisation and validation of all-sky Cepheids and RR Lyrae stars (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1805.02079](#)
- Cohn, H.: Numerical integration of the Fokker–Planck equation and the evolution of star clusters. *Acad. Publ. J.* **234**, 1036–1053 (1979)
- Cole, D.R., Debattista, V.P., Varri, A.L., Adam, M., Seth, A.C.: Spatial and kinematic segregation in star-cluster merger remnants. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **466**, 2895–2909 (2017) [arXiv:1605.02881](#)
- Contenta, F., Varri, A.L., Heggie, D.C.: Neutron star natal kicks and the long-term survival of star clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **449**, L100–L104 (2015) [arXiv:1502.02663](#)
- Cordero, M.J., Hénauld-Brunet, V., Pilachowski, C.A., Balbinot, E., Johnson, C.I., Varri, A.L.: Differences in the rotational properties of multiple stellar populations in M13: a faster rotation for the ‘extreme’ chemical subpopulation. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **465**, 3515–3535 (2017) [arXiv:1610.09374](#)
- Crain, R.A., Schaye, J., Bower, R.G., Furlong, M., Schaller, M., Theuns, T., Dalla Vecchia, C., Frenk, C.S., McCarthy, I.G., Helly, J.C., Jenkins, A., Rosas-Guevara, Y.M., White, S.D.M., Trayford, J.W.: The EAGLE simulations of galaxy formation: calibration of subgrid physics and model variations. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **450**, 1937–1961 (2015) [arXiv:1501.01311](#)
- Creasey, P., Sales, L.V., Peng, E.W., Sameie, O.: Globular clusters formed within dark halos I: present-day abundance, distribution and kinematics (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1806.11118](#)
- Dabringhausen, J., Kroupa, P., Pflamm-Altenburg, J., Mieske, S.: Low-mass X-ray binaries indicate a top-heavy stellar initial mass function in ultracompact dwarf galaxies. *Acad. Publ. J.* **747**, 72 (2012) [arXiv:1110.2779](#)
- Dale, J.E., Haworth, T.J., Bressert, E.: The dangers of being trigger-happy. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **450**, 1199–1211 (2015) [arXiv:1502.05865](#)
- Dalessandro, E., Ferraro, F.R., Lanzoni, B., Schiavon, R.P., O’Connell, R.W., Beccari, G.: Ultraviolet observations of the globular cluster M10 from HST and GALEX: the BSS population. *Acad. Publ. J.* **770**, 45 (2013) [arXiv:1305.0846](#)
- Daniel, K.J., Heggie, D.C., Varri, A.L.: An approximate analytic model of a star cluster with potential escapers. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **468**, 1453–1473 (2017) [arXiv:1703.04548](#)
- Davies, M.B., Amaro-Seoane, P., Bassa, C., Dale, J., De Angeli, F., Freitag, M., Kroupa, P., Mackey, D., Miller, M.C., Portegies Zwart, S.: The MODEST questions: challenges and future directions in stellar cluster research. *New Astron.* **12**, 201–214 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0608668](#)
- Davies, M.B., de Pioletto, G., Angeli, F.: Blue straggler production in globular clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **349**, 129–134 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0401502](#)
- de Vita, R., Trenti, M., Bianchini, P., Askar, A., Giersz, M., van de Ven, G.: Prospects for detection of intermediate-mass black holes in globular clusters using integrated-light spectroscopy. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **467**, 4057–4066 (2017) [arXiv:1702.01741](#)
- de Vries, N., Portegies Zwart, S., Figueira, J.: The evolution of triples with a Roche lobe filling outer star. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **438**, 1909–1921 (2014) [arXiv:1309.1475](#)
- Deharveng, L., Schuller, F., Anderson, L.D., Zavagno, A., Wyrowski, F., Menten, K.M., Bronfman, L., Testi, L., Walmsley, C.M., Wienen, M.: A gallery of bubbles. The nature of the bubbles observed by Spitzer and what ATLASGAL tells us about the surrounding neutral material. *Astron. Astrophys.* **523**, A6 (2010) [arXiv:1008.0926](#)
- den Brok, B.M., Seth, A.C., Barth, A.J., Carson, D.J., Neumayer, N., Cappellari, M., Debattista, V.P., Ho, L.C., Hood, C.E., McDermaid, R.M.: Measuring the mass of the central black hole in the bulgeless galaxy NGC 4395 from gas dynamical modeling. *Acad. Publ. J.* **809**, 101 (2015) [arXiv:1507.04358](#)
- Di Cintio, P., Gupta, S., Casetti, L.: Dynamical origin of non-thermal states in galactic filaments. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **475**, 1137–1147 (2018) [arXiv:1706.01955](#)
- Do, T., Ghez, A., Morris, M., Lu, J., Chappell, S., Feldmeier-Krause, A., Kerzendorf, W., Martinez, G.D., Murray, N., Winsor, N.: Observational constraints on the formation and evolution of the Milky Way nuclear star cluster with Keck and Gemini. In: Crocker, R.M., Longmore, S.N., Bicknell, G.V. (eds.) *The Multi-Messenger Astrophysics of the Galactic Centre*, IAU Symposium, vol. 322, pp. 222–230 (2017) [arXiv:1610.02964](#)
- Do, T., Kerzendorf, W., Winsor, N., Støstad, M., Morris, M.R., Lu, J.R., Ghez, A.M.: Discovery of low-metallicity stars in the central parsec of the Milky Way. *Acad. Publ. J.* **809**, 143 (2015) [arXiv:1506.07891](#)
- Dorval, J., Boily, C.M., Moraux, E., Roos, O.: Wide- and contact-binary formation in substructured young stellar clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **465**, 2198–2211 (2017)
- Durrell, P.R.: Surface photometry of Virgo dwarf ellipticals. *Astron. J.* **113**, 531–539 (1997)
- Ebisuzaki, T., Makino, J., Tsuru, T.G., Funato, Y., Portegies Zwart, S., Hut, P., McMillan, S., Matsushita, S., Matsumoto, H., Kawabe, R.: Missing link found? The “runaway” path to supermassive black holes. *Acad. Publ. J.* **562**, L19–L22 (2001) [arXiv:astro-ph/0106252](#)
- El-Badry, K., Rix, H.W., Weisz, D.R.: An Empirical Measurement of the Initial-Final Mass Relation with Gaia White Dwarfs (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1805.05849](#)
- El-Badry, K., Weisz, D.R., Quataert, E.: The statistical challenge of constraining the low-mass IMF in local group dwarf galaxies. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **468**, 319–332 (2017) [arXiv:1701.02347](#)
- Elmegreen, B.G.: A Q condition for long-range propagating star formation. *Acad. Publ. J.* **427**, 384–387 (1994)
- Elmegreen, B.G., Elmegreen, D.M.: Star formation in shock-compressed layers. *Acad. Publ. J.* **220**, 1051–1062 (1978)
- Fan, X.: Evolution of high-redshift quasars. *New Astron. Rev.* **50**, 665–671 (2006)
- Feigelson, E.D., Townsley, L.K., Broos, P.S., Busk, H.A., Getman, K.V., King, R.R., Kuhn, M.A., Naylor, T., Povich, M.S., Baddeley, A., Bate, M.R., Indebetouw, R., Luhman, K.L., McCaughrean, M.J., Pittard, J.M., Pudritz, R.E., Sills, A., Song, Y., Wadsley, J.: Overview of the massive young star-forming complex study in infrared and X-ray (MYStIX) project. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* **209**, 26 (2013) [arXiv:1309.4483](#)

- Feldmeier-Krause, A., Kerzendorf, W., Neumayer, N., Schödel, R., Noguera-Lara, F., Do, T., de Zeeuw, P.T.: KMOS view of the galactic centre—II. Metallicity distribution of late-type stars. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **464**, 194–209 (2017) [arXiv:1610.01623](#)
- Feldmeier-Krause, A., Neumayer, N., Schödel, R., Seth, A., Hilker, M., de Zeeuw, P.T., Kuntschner, H., Walcher, C.J., Lützgendorf, N.: KMOS view of the Galactic centre. I. Young stars are centrally concentrated. *Astron. Astrophys.* **584**, A2 (2015) [arXiv:1509.04707](#)
- Ferrarese, L., Côté, P., Dalla Bontà, E., Peng, E.W., Merritt, D., Jordán, A., Blakeslee, J.P., Haşegan, M., Mei, S., Piatek, S., Tonry, J.L., West, M.J.: A fundamental relation between compact stellar nuclei, supermassive black holes, and their host galaxies. *Acad. Publ. J.* **644**, L21–L24 (2006) [arXiv:astro-ph/0603840](#)
- Ferraro, F.R., Beccari, G., Dalessandro, E., Lanzoni, B., Sills, A., Rood, R.T., Pecci, F.F., Karakas, A.I., Mocchi, P., Bovinelli, S.: Two distinct sequences of blue straggler stars in the globular cluster M 30. *Nature* **462**, 1028–1031 (2009) [arXiv:1001.1096](#)
- Ferraro, F.R., Lanzoni, B., Dalessandro, E., Beccari, G., Pasquato, M., Mocchi, P., Rood, R.T., Sigurdsson, S., Sills, A., Vesperini, E., Mapelli, M., Contreras, R., Sanna, N., Mucciarelli, A.: Dynamical age differences among coeval star clusters as revealed by blue stragglers. *Nature* **492**, 393–395 (2012) [arXiv:1212.5071](#)
- Ferraro, F.R., Lanzoni, B., Raso, S., Nardiello, D., Dalessandro, E., Vesperini, E., Piotto, G., Pallanca, C., Beccari, G., Bellini, A., Libralato, M., Anderson, J., Aparicio, A., Bedin, L.R., Cassisi, S., Milone, A.P., Ortolani, S., Renzini, A., Salaris, M., van der Marel, R.P.: The Hubble space telescope UV legacy survey of galactic globular clusters. XV. The dynamical clock: reading cluster dynamical evolution from the segregation level of blue straggler stars. *Acad. Publ. J.* **860**, 36 (2018a) [arXiv:1805.00968](#)
- Ferraro, F.R., Mucciarelli, A., Lanzoni, B., Pallanca, C., Lapenna, E., Origlia, L., Dalessandro, E., Valentini, G., Beccari, G., Bellazzini, M., Vesperini, E., Varri, A., Sollima, A.: MIKIS: the Multi-Instrument Kinematic Survey of Galactic Globular Clusters. I. Velocity dispersion profiles and rotation signals of 11 globular clusters (2018b). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1804.08618](#)
- Filippenko, A.V., Ho, L.C.: A low-mass central black hole in the bulgeless Seyfert 1 Galaxy NGC 4395. *Acad. Publ. J.* **588**, L13–L16 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0303429](#)
- Forbes, D.A., Bastian, N., Gieles, M., Crain, R.A., Kruijssen, J.M.D., Larsen, S.S., Ploekinger, S., Agertz, O., Trenti, M., Ferguson, A.M.N., Pfeffer, J., Gnedin, O.Y.: Globular cluster formation and evolution in the context of cosmological galaxy assembly: open questions. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **474**, 20170616 (2018) [arXiv:1801.05818](#)
- Fragione, G., Pavlik, V., Banerjee, S.: Neutron stars and millisecond pulsars in star clusters: implications for the diffuse γ -radiation from the Galactic Centre. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **480**, 4955–4962 (2018) [arXiv:1804.04856](#)
- Fryxell, B., Olson, K., Ricker, P., Timmes, F.X., Zingale, M., Lamb, D.Q., MacNeice, P., Rosner, R., Truran, J.W., Tufo, H.: FLASH: an adaptive mesh hydrodynamics code for modeling astrophysical thermonuclear flashes. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* **131**, 273–334 (2000)
- Fujii, M.S.: Formation of young massive clusters from turbulent molecular clouds. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* **67**, 59 (2015) [arXiv:1410.5540](#)
- Fujii, M.S., Portegies Zwart, S.: The moment of core collapse in star clusters with a mass function. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **439**, 1003–1014 (2014) [arXiv:1304.1550](#)
- Fujii, M.S., Portegies Zwart, S.: The initial mass function of star clusters that form in turbulent molecular clouds. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **449**, 726–740 (2015) [arXiv:1309.1223](#)
- Fujii, M.S., Portegies Zwart, S.: The formation and dynamical evolution of young star clusters. *Acad. Publ. J.* **817**, 4 (2016) [arXiv:1512.00090](#)
- Fujii, M.S., Saitoh, T.R., Portegies Zwart, S.F.: The formation of young dense star clusters through mergers. *Acad. Publ. J.* **753**, 85 (2012) [arXiv:1205.1434](#)
- Fujii, M.S., Tanikawa, A., Makino, J.: The detection rates of merging binary black holes originating from star clusters and their mass function. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* **69**, 94 (2017) [arXiv:1709.02058](#)
- Fulton, B.J., Petigura, E.A.: The California Kepler Survey VII. Precise Planet Radii Leveraging Gaia DR2 Reveal the Stellar Mass Dependence of the Planet Radius Gap (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1805.01453](#)
- Gair, J.R., Mandel, I., Wen, L.: Time-frequency analysis of extreme-mass-ratio inspiral signals in mock LISA data. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **122**, 012037 (2008) [arXiv:0710.5250](#)
- Gavagnin, E., Mapelli, M., Lake, G.: A critical look at the merger scenario to explain multiple populations and rotation in iron-complex globular clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **461**, 1276–1287 (2016) [arXiv:1606.02743](#)
- Geha, M., Brown, T.M., Tumlinson, J., Kalirai, J.S., Simon, J.D., Kirby, E.N., VandenBerg, D.A., Muñoz, R.R., Avila, R.J., Guhathakurta, P., Ferguson, H.C.: The stellar initial mass function of ultra-faint dwarf galaxies: evidence for IMF variations with galactic environment. *Acad. Publ. J.* **771**, 29 (2013) [arXiv:1304.7769](#)
- Georgiev, I.Y., Böker, T., Leigh, N., Lützgendorf, N., Neumayer, N.: Masses and scaling relations for nuclear star clusters, and their co-existence with central black holes. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **457**, 2122–2138 (2016) [arXiv:1601.02613](#)
- Giersz, M.: Monte Carlo simulations of star clusters—I. First results. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **298**, 1239–1248 (1998) [arXiv:astro-ph/9804127](#)
- Giersz, M., Leigh, N., Hypki, A., Lützgendorf, N., Askar, A.: MOCCA code for star cluster simulations—IV. A new scenario for intermediate mass black hole formation in globular clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **454**, 3150–3165 (2015) [arXiv:1506.05234](#)
- Gillessen, S., Genzel, R., Fritz, T.K., Quataert, E., Alig, C., Burkert, A., Cuadra, J., Eisenhauer, F., Pfuhl, O., Dodds-Eden, K., Gammie, C.F., Ott, T.: A gas cloud on its way towards the supermassive black hole at the galactic centre. *Nature* **481**, 51–54 (2012) [arXiv:1112.3264](#)
- Gilmore, G., Randich, S., Asplund, M., Binney, J., Bonifacio, P., Drew, J., Feltzing, S., Ferguson, A., Jeffries, R., Micela, G., et al.: The Gaia-ESO public spectroscopic survey. *Messenger* **147**, 25–31 (2012)
- Goodman, J.J.: Dynamical relaxation in stellar systems. PhD thesis, Princeton Univ., NJ (1983)
- Gratton, R., Snedden, C., Carretta, E.: Abundance variations within globular clusters. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **42**, 385–440 (2004)
- Gratton, R.G., Carretta, E., Bragaglia, A.: Multiple populations in globular clusters. Lessons learned from the Milky Way globular clusters. *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **20**, 50 (2012) [arXiv:1201.6526](#)
- Grillmair, C.J., Mould, J.R., Holtzman, J.A., Worthey, G., Ballester, G.E., Burrows, C.J., Clarke, J.T., Crisp, D., Evans, R.W., Gallagher, J.S. III, Griffiths, R.E., Hester, J.J., Hoessel, J.G., Scowen, P.A., Stapelfeldt, K.R., Trauger, J.T., Watson, A.M., Westphal, J.A.: Hubble Space Telescope observations of the Draco dwarf spheroidal galaxy. *Astron. J.* **115**, 144 (1998) [arXiv:astro-ph/9709259](#)
- Grudić, M.Y., Guszejnov, D., Hopkins, P.F., Lamberts, A., Boylan-Kolchin, M., Murray, N., Schmitz, D.: From the top down and back up again: star cluster structure from hierarchical star formation (2017). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1708.09065](#)
- Gutermuth, R.A., Pipher, J.L., Megeath, S.T., Myers, P.C., Allen, L.E., Allen, T.S.: A correlation between surface densities of young stellar objects and gas in EIGMeath2016ht nearby molecular clouds. *Acad. Publ. J.* **739**, 84 (2011) [arXiv:1107.0966](#)
- Hacar, A., Tafalla, M., Alves, J.: Fibers in the NGC 1333 proto-cluster. *Astron. Astrophys.* **606**, A123 (2017) [arXiv:1703.07029](#)
- Haghi, H., Khalaj, P., Hasani Zonoozi, A., Kroupa, P.: A possible solution for the M/L[Fe/H] relation of globular clusters in M31. II. The age-metallicity relation. *Acad. Publ. J.* **839**, 60 (2017) [arXiv:1703.04635](#)
- Hao, W., Kouwenhoven, M.B.N., Spurzem, R.: The dynamical evolution of multiplanet systems in open clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **433**, 867–877 (2013) [arXiv:1305.1413](#)
- Hawkins, K., Wyse, R.F.G.: The fastest travel together: chemical tagging of the fastest stars in Gaia DR2 to the stellar halo (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1806.07907](#)
- Heggie, D., Hut, P.: The gravitational million-body problem: a multidisciplinary approach to star cluster dynamics (2003)
- Heggie, D.C.: Mass loss from globular clusters. In: Deiters, S., Fuchs, B., Just, A., Spurzem, R., Wielen, R. (eds.) *Dynamics of Star Clusters and the Milky Way*. Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, vol. 228, p. 29 (2001) [arXiv:astro-ph/0007336](#)
- Heggie, D.C.: Problems of collisional stellar dynamics. *Bull. Astron. Soc. India* **39**, 69–85 (2011) [arXiv:1102.4516](#)
- Heggie, D.C.: Towards an N-body model for the globular cluster M4. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **445**, 3435–3443 (2014) [arXiv:1409.5597](#)
- Heitsch, F., Hartmann, L.W., Slyz, A.D., Devriendt, J.E.G., Burkert, A.: Cooling, gravity, and geometry: flow-driven massive core formation. *Acad. Publ. J.* **674**, 316–328 (2008) [arXiv:0709.2451](#)
- Gaia Collaboration, Helmi, A., van Leeuwen, F., McMillan, P.J., Massari, D., Antoja, T., Robin, A., Lindegren, L., Bastian, U., co-authors: Gaia Data Release 2: kinematics of globular clusters and dwarf galaxies around the Milky Way (2018b). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1804.09381](#)
- Helmi, A., Veljanoski, J., Breddels, M.A., Tian, H., Sales, L.V.: A box full of chocolates: the rich structure of the nearby stellar halo revealed by Gaia and RAVE. *Astron. Astrophys.* **598**, A58 (2017) [arXiv:1611.00222](#)

- Hénault-Brunet, V., Gieles, M., Agertz, O., Read, J.I.: Multiple populations in globular clusters: the distinct kinematic imprints of different formation scenarios. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **450**, 1164–1198 (2015) [arXiv:1503.07532](#)
- Hénon, M.H.: The Monte Carlo method. *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **14**, 151–167 (1971). (Papers appear in the Proceedings of IAU Colloquium No. 10 Gravitational N-Body Problem. Lecar, M. (ed.): Reidel, Dordrecht.)
- Hillenbrand, L.A.: On the stellar population and star-forming history of the Orion nebula cluster. *Astron. J.* **113**, 1733–1768 (1997)
- Hong, J., de Grijs, R., Askar, A., Berczik, P., Li, C., Wang, L., Deng, L., Kouwenhoven, M.B.N., Giersz, M., Spurzem, R.: The dynamical origin of multiple populations in intermediate-age clusters in the Magellanic clouds. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **472**, 67–77 (2017) [arXiv:1707.09153](#)
- Hong, J., Vesperini, E., Askar, A., Giersz, M., Szkodlarek, M., Bulik, T.: Binary black hole mergers from globular clusters: the impact of globular cluster properties. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **480**, 5645–5656 (2018) [arXiv:1808.04514](#)
- Hopkins, P.F., Kereš, D., Oñorbe, J., Faucher-Giguère, C.A., Quataert, E., Murray, N., Bullock, J.S.: Galaxies on FIRE (Feedback In Realistic Environments): stellar feedback explains cosmologically inefficient star formation. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **445**, 581–603 (2014) [arXiv:1311.2073](#)
- Hosokawa, T., Yoshida, N., Omukai, K., Yorke, H.W.: Protostellar feedback and final mass of the second-generation primordial stars. *Acad. Publ. J.* **760**, L37 (2012) [arXiv:1210.3035](#)
- Hurley, J.R., Pols, O.R., Tout, C.A.: Comprehensive analytic formulae for stellar evolution as a function of mass and metallicity. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **315**, 543–569 (2000) [arXiv:astro-ph/0001295](#)
- Hurley, J.R., Sippel, A.C., Tout, C.A., Aarseth, S.J.: A dynamical gravitational wave source in a dense cluster. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Aust.* **33** e036 (2016) [arXiv:1607.00641](#)
- Hurley, J.R., Tout, C.A., Aarseth, S.J., Pols, O.R.: Direct N-body modelling of stellar populations: blue stragglers in M67. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **323**, 630–650 (2001) [arXiv:astro-ph/0012113](#)
- Hurley, J.R., Tout, C.A., Pols, O.R.: Evolution of binary stars and the effect of tides on binary populations. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **329**, 897–928 (2002) [arXiv:astro-ph/0201220](#)
- Hut, P., Shara, M.M., Aarseth, S.J., Klessen, R.S., Lombardi, J.C. Jr, Makino, J., McMillan, S., Pols, O.R., Teuben, P.J., Webbink, R.F.: MODEST-1: integrating stellar evolution and stellar dynamics. *New Astron.* **8**, 337–370 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0207318](#)
- Hypki, A., Giersz, M.: MOCCA code for star cluster simulations—I. Blue stragglers, first results. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **429**, 1221–1243 (2013) [arXiv:1207.6700](#)
- Hypki, A., Giersz, M.: MOCCA code for star cluster simulations—V. Initial globular cluster conditions influence on blue stragglers. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **466**, 320–339 (2017) [arXiv:1604.07033](#)
- Ibata, R., Nipoti, C., Sollima, A., Bellazzini, M., Chapman, S.C., Dalessandro, E.: Do globular clusters possess dark matter haloes? A case study in NGC 2419. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **428**, 3648–3659 (2013) [arXiv:1210.7787](#)
- Ishiyama, T., Rieder, S., Makino, J., Portegies Zwart, S., Groen, D., Nitadori, K., de Laat, C., McMillan, S., Hiraki, K., Harfst, S.: The cosmogrid simulation: statistical properties of small dark matter halos. *Acad. Publ. J.* **767**, 146 (2013) [arXiv:1101.2020](#)
- Iwasawa, M., Portegies Zwart, S., Makino, J.: GPU-enabled particle-particle particle-tree scheme for simulating dense stellar cluster system. *Comput. Astrophys. Cosmol.* **2**, 6 (2015) [arXiv:1506.04553](#)
- Jao, W.C., Henry, T.J., Gies, D.R., Hambly, N.C.: A gap in the lower main sequence revealed by Gaia Data Release 2 (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1806.07792](#)
- Jeffries, R.D., Jackson, R.J., Cottaar, M., Koposov, S.E., Lanzafame, A.C., Meyer, M.R., Prisinzano, L., Randich, S., Sacco, G.G., Brugaletta, E., Caramazza, M., Damiani, F., Franciosini, E., Frasca, A., Gilmore, G., Feltzing, S., Micela, G., Alfaro, E., Bensby, T., Pancino, E., Recio-Blanco, A., de Laverny, P., Lewis, J., Magrini, L., Morbidelli, L., Costado, M.T., Jofré, P., Klutsch, A., Lind, K., Maiorca, E.: The Gaia-ESO survey: kinematic structure in the Gamma Velorum cluster. *Astron. Astrophys.* **563**, A94 (2014) [arXiv:1401.4979](#)
- Jerabkova, T., Kroupa, P., Dabringhausen, J., Hilker, M., Bekki, K.: The formation of UCDs and massive GCs: Quasar-like objects for testing for a variable stellar initial mass function (IMF) (2017). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1708.07127](#)
- Jiang, D., Chen, X., Li, L., Han, Z.: Contribution of primordial binary evolution to the two blue-straggler sequences in globular cluster M30. *Acad. Publ. J.* **849**, 100 (2017) [arXiv:1709.09643](#)
- Joshi, K.J., Rasio, F.A., Portegies Zwart, S.: Monte Carlo simulations of globular cluster evolution. I. Method and test calculations. *Acad. Publ. J.* **540**, 969–982 (2000) [arXiv:astro-ph/9909115](#)
- Kains, N., Bramich, D.M., Sahu, K.C., Calamida, A.: Searching for intermediate-mass black holes in globular clusters with gravitational microlensing. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **460**, 2025–2035 (2016) [arXiv:1605.03580](#)
- Kamann, S., Husser, T.O., Dreizler, S., Emsellem, E., Weillbacher, P.M., Martens, S., Bacon, R., den Brok, M., Giesers, B., Krajnović, D., Roth, M.M., Wendt, M., Wisotzki, L.: A stellar census in globular clusters with MUSE: the contribution of rotation to cluster dynamics studied with 200,000 stars. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **473**, 5591–5616 (2018) [arXiv:1710.07257](#)
- Gaia Collaboration, Katz, D., Antoja, T., Romero-Gómez, M., Rimmel, R., Reylé, C., Seabroke, G.M., Soubiran, C., Babusiaux, C., Di Matteo, P., Figueras, F., Poggio, E., Robin, A.C., Evans, D.W., DPAC co-authors: Gaia Data Release 2: Mapping the Milky Way disc kinematics (2018c). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1804.09380](#)
- Kilic, M., Hambly, N.C., Bergeron, P., Genest-Beaulieu, C., Rowell, N.: Gaia Reveals Evidence for Merged White Dwarfs (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1805.01227](#)
- Kim, Jh., Ma, X., Grudić, M.Y., Hopkins, P.F., Hayward, C.C., Wetzel, A., Faucher-Giguère, C.A., Kereš, D., Garrison-Kimmel, S., Murray, N.: Formation of globular cluster candidates in merging proto-galaxies at high redshift: a view from the FIRE cosmological simulations. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **474**, 4232–4244 (2018) [arXiv:1704.02988](#)
- Knigge, C., Baraffe, I., Patterson, J.: The evolution of cataclysmic variables as revealed by their donor stars. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* **194**, 28 (2011) [arXiv:1102.2440](#)
- Koppelman, H.H., Helmi, A., Veljanoski, J.: One large blob and many streams frosting the nearby stellar halo in Gaia DR2 (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1804.11347](#)
- Krone-Martins, A., Delchambre, L., Wertz, O., Ducourant, C., Mignard, F., Klüter, R.T.J., Le Campion, J.F., Galluccio, L., Surdej, J., Bastian, U., Wambsganss, J., Graham, M.J., Djorgovski, S.G., Slezak, E.: Gaia DR2 Gravitational Lens Systems I: new lensed quasar candidates around known quasars (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1804.11051](#)
- Kroupa, P.: Inverse dynamical population synthesis and star formation. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **277**, 1491–1506 (1995) [arXiv:astro-ph/9508117](#)
- Kroupa, P.: On the variation of the initial mass function. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **322**, 231–246 (2001) [arXiv:astro-ph/0009005](#)
- Kruijssen, J.M.D., Pfeffer, J.L., Lamers, H.J.G.L.M., Reina-Campos, M., Crain, R.A., Bastian, N.: The formation and assembly history of the Milky Way revealed by its globular cluster population. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* [arXiv:1806.05680](#)
- Kruijssen, J.M.D.: Globular cluster formation in the context of galaxy formation and evolution. *Class. Quantum Gravity* **31**, 24 (2014) [arXiv:1407.2953](#)
- Kuhn, M.A., Feigelson, E.D., Getman, K.V., Baddeley, A.J., Broos, P.S., Sills, A., Bate, M.R., Povich, M.S., Luhman, K.L., Busk, H.A., Naylor, T., King, R.R.: The spatial structure of young stellar clusters. I. Subclusters. *Acad. Publ. J.* **787**, 107 (2014) [arXiv:1403.4252](#)
- Kuhn, M.A., Hillenbrand, L.A., Sills, A., Feigelson, E.D., Getman, K.V.: Kinematics in young star clusters and associations with Gaia DR2 (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1807.02115](#)
- Kuin, N.P.M., Wu, K., Oates, S., Lien, A., Emery, S., Kennea, J., de Pasquale, M., Han, Q., Brown, P.J., Tohuvavohu, A., Breeveld, A., Burrows, D.N., Cenko, S.B., Campana, S., Levan, A., Markwardt, C., Osborne, J.P., Page, M.J., Page, K.L., Sbarufatti, B., Siegel, M., Troja, E.: Swift spectra of AT2018cow: a white dwarf tidal disruption event? (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1808.08492](#)
- Küpper, A.H.W., Kroupa, P., Baumgardt, H., Heggge, D.C.: Peculiarities in velocity dispersion and surface density profiles of star clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **407**, 2241–2260 (2010) [arXiv:1005.6777](#)
- Kustaanheimo, P., Stiefel, E.: Perturbation theory of Kepler motion based on spinor regularization. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)* **1965**(218), 204–219 (1965) URL <http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/crll.1965.issue-218/crll.1965.218.204/crll.1965.218.204.xml>
- Kuzma, P.B., Da Costa, G.S., Mackey, A.D.: The outer envelopes of globular clusters. II. NGC 1851, NGC 5824 and NGC 1261*. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **473**, 2881–2898 (2018) [arXiv:1709.02915](#)
- Lanzoni, B., Ferraro, F.R., Alessandrini, E., Dalessandro, E., Vesperini, E., Raso, S.: Refining the dynamical clock for star clusters. *Acad. Publ. J.* **833**, L29 (2016)
- Lanzoni, B., Mucciarelli, A., Origlia, L., Bellazzini, M., Ferraro, F.R., Valentini, E., Miocchi, P., Dalessandro, E., Pallanca, C., Massari, D.: The velocity dispersion

- profile of NGC 6388 from resolved-star spectroscopy: no evidence of a central cusp and new constraints on the black hole mass. *Acad. Publ. J.* **769**, 107 (2013) [arXiv:1304.2953](#)
- Lardo, C., Bellazzini, M., Pancino, E., Carretta, E., Bragaglia, A., Dalessandro, E.: Mining SDSS in search of multiple populations in globular clusters. *Astron. Astrophys.* **525**, A114 (2011) [arXiv:1010.4697](#)
- Larsen, S.S., Baumgardt, H., Bastian, N., Brodie, J.P., Grundahl, F., Strader, J.: Radial distributions of sub-populations in the globular cluster M15: a more centrally concentrated primordial population. *Acad. Publ. J.* **804**, 71 (2015) [arXiv:1503.00726](#)
- Lee, J., Shin, J., Kim, S.S.: Globular clusters within dark matter halos: case studies of 47 Tuc, NGC 1851 and M 15. In: Charbonnel, C., Nota, A. (eds.) *Formation, Evolution, and Survival of Massive Star Clusters*, IAU Symposium, vol. 316, pp. 336–337 (2017)
- Leigh, N.W.C., Lützgendorf, N., Geller, A.M., Maccarone, T.J., Heinke, C., Sesana, A.: On the coexistence of stellar-mass and intermediate-mass black holes in globular clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **444**, 29–42 (2014) [arXiv:1407.4459](#)
- Lennon, D.J., Evans, C.J., van der Marel, R.P., Anderson, J., Platais, I., Herrero, A., de Mink, S.E., Sana, H., Sabbi, E., Crowther, P.A., Langer, N., Ramos Lerate, M., del Pino, A., Renzo, M., Simón-Díaz, S., Schneider, F.R.N.: Gaia DR2 reveals a very massive runaway star ejected from R136 (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1805.08277](#)
- Li, C., de Grijs, R., Deng, L., Geller, A.M., Xin, Y., Hu, Y., Faucher-Giguère, C.A.: Formation of new stellar populations from gas accreted by massive young star clusters. *Nature* **529**, 502–504 (2016) [arXiv:1601.07296](#)
- Li, G., Adams, F.C.: Cross-sections for planetary systems interacting with passing stars and binaries. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **448**, 344–363 (2015) [arXiv:1501.00911](#)
- Li, H., Gnedin, O.Y., Gnedin, N.Y.: Star cluster formation in cosmological simulations. II. Effects of star formation efficiency and stellar feedback. *Acad. Publ. J.* **861**, 107 (2018) [arXiv:1712.01219](#)
- Li, H., Gnedin, O.Y., Gnedin, N.Y., Meng, X., Semenov, V.A., Kravtsov, A.V.: Star cluster formation in cosmological simulations. I. Properties of young clusters. *Acad. Publ. J.* **834**, 69 (2017) [arXiv:1608.03244](#)
- Libralato, M., Bellini, A., van der Marel, R., Anderson, J., Watkins, L.L., Piotto, G., Ferraro, F.R., Nardiello, D., Vesperini, E.: Hubble Space Telescope Proper motion (HSTPROMO) Catalogs of Galactic Globular Clusters. VI. Improved data reduction and internal-kinematic analysis of NGC 362 (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1805.05332](#)
- Lilley, E.J., Sanders, J.L., Evans, N.W., Erkal, D.: Galaxy halo expansions: a new biorthogonal family of potential-density pairs. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **476**, 2092–2109 (2018) [arXiv:1802.03350](#)
- Lin, D., Strader, J., Carrasco, E.R., Page, D., Romanowsky, A.J., Homan, J., Irwin, J.A., Remillard, R.A., Godet, O., Webb, N.A., Baumgardt, H., Wijnands, R., Barret, D., Duc, P.A., Brodie, J.P., Gwyn, S.D.J.: A luminous X-ray outburst from an intermediate-mass black hole in an off-centre star cluster. *Nat. Astron.* **2**, 656–661 (2018) [arXiv:1806.05692](#)
- Longmore, S.N., Kruijssen, J.M.D., Bastian, N., Bally, J., Rathborne, J., Testi, L., Stolte, A., Dale, J., Bressert, E., Alves, J.: The formation and early evolution of young massive clusters. In: *Protostars and Planets VI*, pp. 291–314 (2014) [arXiv:1401.4175](#)
- Lucatello, S., Sollima, A., Gratton, R., Vesperini, E., D'Orazi, V., Carretta, E., Bragaglia, A.: The incidence of binaries in globular cluster stellar populations. *Astron. Astrophys.* **584**, A52 (2015) [arXiv:1509.05014](#)
- Lützgendorf, N., Baumgardt, H., Kruijssen, J.M.D.: N-body simulations of globular clusters in tidal fields: effects of intermediate-mass black holes. *Astron. Astrophys.* **558**, A117 (2013a) [arXiv:1309.0451](#)
- Lützgendorf, N., Gebhardt, K., Baumgardt, H., Noyola, E., Neumayer, N., Kissler-Patig, M., de Zeeuw, T.: Re-evaluation of the central velocity-dispersion profile in NGC 6388. *Astron. Astrophys.* **581**, A1 (2015) [arXiv:1507.02813](#)
- Lützgendorf, N., Kissler-Patig, M., Gebhardt, K., Baumgardt, H., Noyola, E., de Zeeuw, P.T., Neumayer, N., Jalali, B., Feldmeier, A.: (2013b) Limits on intermediate-mass black holes in six galactic globular clusters with integral-field spectroscopy. *Astron. Astrophys.* **552**, A49 [arXiv:1212.3475](#)
- Lützgendorf, N., Kissler-Patig, M., Noyola, E., de Jalali, B., Zeeuw, P.T., Gebhardt, K., Baumgardt, H.: Kinematic signature of an intermediate-mass black hole in the globular cluster NGC 6388. *Astron. Astrophys.* **533**, A36 (2011) [arXiv:1107.4243](#)
- Mac Low, M.M., Klessen, R.S.: Control of star formation by supersonic turbulence. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **76**, 125–194 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0301093](#)
- Madau, P., Rees, M.J.: Massive black holes as population III remnants. *Acad. Publ. J.* **551**, L27–L30 (2001) [arXiv:astro-ph/0101223](#)
- Makino, J.: A Modified Aarseth code for GRAPE and vector processors. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* **43**, 859–876 (1991)
- Makino, J., Aarseth, S.J.: On a Hermite integrator with Ahmad–Cohen scheme for gravitational many-body problems. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* **44**, 141–151 (1992)
- Malhan, K., Ibata, R.A., Martin, N.F.: Ghostly tributaries to the Milky Way: charting the Halo's stellar streams with the Gaia DR2 catalogue (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1804.11339](#)
- Mandel, I., de Mink, S.E.: Merging binary black holes formed through chemically homogeneous evolution in short-period stellar binaries. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **458**, 2634–2647 (2016) [arXiv:1601.00007](#)
- Mapelli, M.: Massive black hole binaries from runaway collisions: the impact of metallicity. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **459**, 3432–3446 (2016) [arXiv:1604.03559](#)
- Marchetti, T., Rossi, E.M., Brown, A.G.A.: Gaia DR2 in 6D: searching for the fastest stars in the Galaxy (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1804.10607](#)
- Marino, A.F., Milone, A.P., Yong, D., Dotter, A., Da Costa, G., Asplund, M., Jerjen, H., Mackey, D., Norris, J., Cassisi, S., Sbordone, L., Stetson, P.B., Weiss, A., Aparicio, A., Bedin, L.R., Lind, K., Monelli, M., Piotto, G., Angeloni, R., Buonanno, R.: The halo+cluster system of the Galactic globular cluster NGC 1851. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **442**, 3044–3064 (2014) [arXiv:1406.0944](#)
- Marks, M., Kroupa, P.: Inverse dynamical population synthesis. Constraining the initial conditions of young stellar clusters by studying their binary populations. *Astron. Astrophys.* **543**, A8 (2012) [arXiv:1205.1508](#)
- Mastrobuono-Battisti, A., Perets, H.B.: Evolution of second-generation stars in stellar disks of globular and nuclear clusters: ω Centauri as a test case. *Acad. Publ. J.* **779**, 85 (2013) [arXiv:1304.6086](#)
- Mastrobuono-Battisti, A., Perets, H.B.: Second-generation stellar disks in dense star clusters and cluster ellipticities. *Acad. Publ. J.* **823**, 61 (2016) [arXiv:1506.08198](#)
- McConnachie, A.W., Côté, P.: Revisiting the influence of unidentified binaries on velocity dispersion measurements in ultra-faint stellar systems. *Acad. Publ. J.* **722**, L209–L214 (2010) [arXiv:1009.4205](#)
- McCrea, W.H.: Extended main-sequence of some stellar clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **128**, 147 (1964)
- McMillan, S., Wall, J., Mac Low, M.M.: Modeling massive cluster formation with stellar feedback using flash and AMUSE. in: *IAU General Assembly*, vol. 22, p. 2257492 (2015)
- McMillan, S.L.W.: *Dynamical Processes in Globular Clusters* p. 225 (2015)
- Megeath, S.T., Gutermuth, R., Muzerolle, J., Kryukova, E., Hora, J.L., Allen, L.E., Flaherty, K., Hartmann, L., Myers, P.C., Pipher, J.L., Stauffer, J., Young, E.T., Fazio, G.G.: The Spitzer space telescope survey of the Orion A and B molecular clouds. II. The spatial distribution and demographics of dusty young stellar objects. *Astron. J.* **151**, 5 (2016) [arXiv:1511.01202](#)
- Meiron, Y., Kocsis, B.: Diffusion and mixing in globular clusters. *Acad. Publ. J.* **855**, 87 (2018) [arXiv:1801.01123](#)
- Merritt, D.: Dynamics and evolution of galactic nuclei (2013)
- Mikkola, S., Aarseth, S.J.: A chain regularization method for the few-body problem. *Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron.* **47**, 375–390 (1990)
- Mikkola, S., Aarseth, S.J.: An implementation of N-body chain regularization. *Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron.* **57**, 439–459 (1993)
- Milone, A.P., Marino, A.F., Mastrobuono-Battisti, A., Lagioia, E.P.: Gaia unveils the kinematics of multiple stellar populations in 47 Tucanae (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1807.03511](#)
- Milone, A.P., Marino, A.F., Piotto, G., Bedin, L.R., Anderson, J., Renzini, A., King, I.R., Bellini, A., Brown, T.M., Cassisi, S., D'Antona, F., Jerjen, H., Nardiello, D., Salaris, M., van der Marel, R.P., Vesperini, E., Yong, D., Aparicio, A., Sarajedini, A., Zoccali, M.: The hubble space telescope UV legacy survey of galactic globular clusters—II. The seven stellar populations of NGC 7089 (M2)*. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **447**, 927–938 (2015) [arXiv:1411.5043](#)
- Milosavljević, M.: On the origin of nuclear star clusters in late-type spiral galaxies. *Acad. Publ. J.* **605**, L13–L16 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0310574](#)
- Moore, C.J., Mihaylov, D., Lasenby, A., Gilmore, G.: An astrometric search method for individually resolvable gravitational wave sources with Gaia (2017). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1707.06239](#)
- Morawski, J., Giersz, M., Askar, A., Belczynski, K.: MOCCA-SURVEY database I: assessing GW kick retention fractions for BH-BH mergers in globular clusters (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1802.01192](#)

- Myeong, G.C., Evans, N.W., Belokurov, V., Koposov, S.E., Sanders, J.L.: A halo substructure in Gaia Data Release 1. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **469**, L78–L82 (2017) [arXiv:1704.01363](#)
- Nakamura, F., Li, Z.Y.: Magnetically regulated star formation in three dimensions: the case of the Taurus molecular cloud complex. *Acad. Publ. J.* **687**, 354–375 (2008) [arXiv:0804.4201](#)
- Nitadori, K., Aarseth, S.J.: Accelerating NBODY6 with graphics processing units. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **424**, 545–552 (2012) [arXiv:1205.1222](#)
- Noyola, E., Gebhardt, K., Bergmann, M.: Gemini and Hubble space telescope evidence for an intermediate-mass black hole in ω Centauri. *Acad. Publ. J.* **676**, 1008–1015 (2008) [arXiv:0801.2782](#)
- Oka, T., Mizuno, R., Miura, K., Takekawa, S.: Signature of an intermediate-mass black hole in the central molecular zone of our galaxy. *Acad. Publ. J.* **816**, L7 (2016) [arXiv:1512.04661](#)
- Oka, T., Tsujimoto, S., Iwata, Y., Nomura, M., Takekawa, S.: Millimetre-wave emission from an intermediate-mass black hole candidate in the Milky Way. *Nat. Astron.* **1**, 709–712 (2017) [arXiv:1707.07603](#)
- Olszewski, E.W., Saha, A., Knezek, P., Subramaniam, A., de Boer, T., Seitzer, P.: A 500 parsec halo surrounding the galactic globular NGC 1851. *Astron. J.* **138**, 1570–1576 (2009) [arXiv:0909.1755](#)
- Oshino, S., Funato, Y., Makino, J.: Particle-particle particle-tree: a direct-tree hybrid scheme for collisional N-body simulations. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* **63**, 881–892 (2011) [arXiv:1101.5504](#)
- Palla, F., Stahler, S.W.: Accelerating star formation in clusters and associations. *Acad. Publ. J.* **540**, 255–270 (2000)
- Pang, X., Grebel, E.K., Allison, R.J., Goodwin, S.P., Altmann, M., Harbeck, D., Moffat, A.F.J., Drissen, L.: On the origin of mass segregation in NGC 3603. *Acad. Publ. J.* **764**, 73 (2013) [arXiv:1212.4566](#)
- Panopoulou, G.V., Psaradaki, I., Skaliadis, R., Tassis, K., Andrews, J.J.: A closer look at the ‘characteristic’ width of molecular cloud filaments. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **466**, 2529–2541 (2017) [arXiv:1611.07532](#)
- Park, D., Kim, C., Lee, H.M., Bae, Y.B., Belczynski, K.: Black hole binaries dynamically formed in globular clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **469**, 4665–4674 (2017) [arXiv:1703.01568](#)
- Pattabiraman, B., Umbreit, S., Liao, W.K., Choudhary, A., Kalogera, V., Memik, G., Rasio, F.A.: A parallel Monte Carlo code for simulating collisional N-body systems. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* **204**, 15 (2013) [arXiv:1206.5878](#)
- Pavlík, V., Jeřábková, T., Kroupa, P., Baumgardt, H.: The black hole retention fraction in star clusters. *Astron. Astrophys.* **617**, A69 (2018) [arXiv:1806.05192](#)
- Pavlík, V., Šubr, L.: On the hunt for self-similar core collapse (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1808.05230](#)
- Pelupessy, F.I., van Elteren, A., van Vries, N., McMillan, S.L.W., Drost, N., Portegies Zwart, S.F.: The astrophysical multipurpose software environment. *Astron. Astrophys.* **557**, A84 (2013) [arXiv:1307.3016](#)
- Peñarrubia, J., Varri, A.L., Breen, P.G., Ferguson, A.M.N., Sánchez-Janssen, R.: Stellar envelopes of globular clusters embedded in dark mini-haloes. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **471**, L31–L35 (2017) [arXiv:1706.02710](#)
- Petts, J.A., Gualandris, A., Read, J.I.: A semi-analytic dynamical friction model that reproduces core stalling. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **454**, 3778–3791 (2015) [arXiv:1509.07871](#)
- Pfuhl, O., Gillessen, S., Eisenhauer, F., Genzel, R., Plewa, P.M., Ott, T., Ballone, A., Schartmann, M., Burkert, A., Fritz, T.K., Sari, R., Steinberg, E., Madigan, A.M.: The galactic center cloud G2 and its gas streamer. *Acad. Publ. J.* **798**, 111 (2015) [arXiv:1407.4354](#)
- Pfeffer, J., Kruijssen, J.M.D., Crain, R.A., Bastian, N.: The E-MOSAICS project: simulating the formation and co-evolution of galaxies and their star cluster populations. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **48**, 4309–4346 (2018) [arXiv:1712.00019](#)
- Piotto, G., De Angeli, F., King, I.R., Djorgovski, S.G., Bono, G., Cassisi, S., Meylan, G., Recio-Blanco, A., Rich, R.M., Davies, M.B.: Relative frequencies of blue stragglers in galactic globular clusters: constraints for the formation mechanisms. *Acad. Publ. J.* **604**, L109–L112 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0402592](#)
- Piotto, G., Milone, A.P., Bedin, L.R., Anderson, J., King, I.R., Marino, A.F., Nardiello, D., Aparicio, A., Barbuy, B., Bellini, A., Brown, T.M., Cassisi, S., Cool, A.M., Cunial, A., Dalessandro, E., D’Antona, F., Ferraro, F.R., Hidalgo, S., Lanzoni, B., Monelli, M., Ortolani, S., Renzini, A., Salaris, M., Sarajedini, A., van der Marel, R.P., Vesperini, E., Zoccali, M.: The Hubble space telescope UV legacy survey of galactic globular clusters. I. Overview of the project and detection of multiple stellar populations. *Astron. J.* **149**, 91 (2015) [arXiv:1410.4564](#)
- Portegies Zwart, S., McMillan, S.: Astrophysical recipes: the art of AMUSE (2018). *AAS IOP Astronomy*
- Portegies Zwart, S., McMillan, S., Harfst, S., Groen, D., Fujii, M., Nualláin, B.Ó., Glebbeek, E., Heggie, D., Lombardi, J., Hut, P., Angelou, V., Banerjee, S., Belkus, H., Fragos, T., Fregeau, J., Gaburov, E., Izzard, R., Jurić, M., Justham, S., Sottoriva, A., Teuben, P., van Bever, J., Yaron, O., Zemp, M.: A multiphysics and multiscale software environment for modeling astrophysical systems. *New Astron.* **14**, 369–378 (2009) [arXiv:0807.1996](#)
- Portegies Zwart, S., McMillan, S., O’Nualláin, B., Heggie, D., Lombardi, J., Hut, P., Banerjee, S., Belkus, H., Fragos, T., Fregeau, J., Fuji, M., Gaburov, E., Glebbeek, E., Groen, D., Harfst, S., Izzard, R., Jurić, M., Justham, S., Teuben, P., van Bever, J., Yaron, O., Zemp, M.: In: *A Multiphysics and Multiscale Software Environment for Modeling Astrophysical Systems*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 5102, pp. 207–216 (2008)
- Portegies Zwart, S.F.: Stellar disc destruction by dynamical interactions in the Orion Trapezium star cluster. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **457**, 313–319 (2016) [arXiv:1511.08900](#)
- Portegies Zwart, S.F., Baumgardt, H., Hut, P., Makino, J., McMillan, S.L.W.: Formation of massive black holes through runaway collisions in dense young star clusters. *Nature* **428**, 724–726 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0402622](#)
- Portegies Zwart, S.F., McMillan, S.L., van Elteren, A., Pelupessy, F.I., de Vries, N.: Multi-physics simulations using a hierarchical interchangeable software interface. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **184**(3), 456–468 (2013) URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010465512003116>
- Portegies Zwart, S.F., McMillan, S.L.W.: Black hole mergers in the Universe. *Acad. Publ. J.* **528**, L17–L20 (2000) [arXiv:astro-ph/9910061](#)
- Portegies Zwart, S.F., McMillan, S.L.W., Gieles, M.: Young massive star clusters. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **48**, 431–493 (2010) [arXiv:1002.1961](#)
- Rasskazov, A., Merritt, D.: Evolution of massive black hole binaries in rotating stellar nuclei: implications for gravitational wave detection. *Phys. Rev. D* **95**(8), 084032 (2017) [arXiv:1606.07484](#)
- Reines, A.E., Sivakoff, G.R., Johnson, K.E., Brogan, C.L.: An actively accreting massive black hole in the dwarf starburst galaxy Henize2-10. *Nature* **470**, 66–68 (2011) [arXiv:1101.1309](#)
- Reines, A.E., Volonteri, M.: Relations between central black hole mass and total galaxy stellar mass in the local Universe. *Acad. Publ. J.* **813**, 82 (2015) [arXiv:1508.06274](#)
- Renaud, F.: Star clusters in evolving galaxies. *New Astron. Rev.* **81**, 1–38 (2018) [arXiv:1801.04278](#)
- Renaud, F., Agertz, O., Gieles, M.: The origin of the Milky Way globular clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **465**, 3622–3636 (2017) [arXiv:1610.03101](#)
- Renaud, F., Gieles, M., Boily, C.M.: Evolution of star clusters in arbitrary tidal fields. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **418**, 759–769 (2011) [arXiv:1107.5820](#)
- Ricotti, M., Parry, O.H., Gnedin, N.Y.: A common origin for globular clusters and ultra-faint dwarfs in simulations of the first galaxies. *Acad. Publ. J.* **831**, 204 (2016) [arXiv:1607.04291](#)
- Rieder, S., Ishiyama, T., Langelaan, P., Makino, J., McMillan, S.L.W., Portegies Zwart, S.: Evolution of star clusters in a cosmological tidal field. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **436**, 3695–3706 (2013) [arXiv:1309.7352](#)
- Riess, A.G., Casertano, S., Yuan, W., Macri, L., Bucciarelli, B., Lattanzi, M.G., Mackenty, J.W., Bowers, J.B., Zheng, W., Filippenko, A.V., Huang, C., Anderson, R.I.: Milky Way Cepheid standards for measuring cosmic distances and application to Gaia DR2: implications for the Hubble constant (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1804.10655](#)
- Robin, A.C., Bienaymé, O., Fernández-Trincado, J.G., Reylé, C.: Kinematics of the local disk from the RAVE survey and the Gaia first data release. *Astron. Astrophys.* **605**, A1 (2017) [arXiv:1704.06274](#)
- Rodríguez, C.L., Chatterjee, S., Rasio, F.A.: Binary black hole mergers from globular clusters: masses, merger rates, and the impact of stellar evolution. *Phys. Rev. D* **93**(8), 084029 (2016a) [arXiv:1602.02444](#)
- Rodríguez, C.L., Haster, C.J., Chatterjee, S., Kalogera, V., Rasio, F.A.: Dynamical formation of the GW150914 binary black hole. *Acad. Publ. J.* **824**, L8 (2016b) [arXiv:1604.04254](#)
- Rodríguez, C.L., Morscher, M., Pattabiraman, B., Chatterjee, S., Haster, C.J., Rasio, F.A.: Binary black hole mergers from globular clusters: implications for advanced LIGO. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**(5), 051101 (2015) [arXiv:1505.00792](#)
- Rodríguez, C.L., Morscher, M., Wang, L., Chatterjee, S., Rasio, F.A., Spurzem, R.: Million-body star cluster simulations: comparisons between Monte Carlo and direct N-body. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **463**, 2109–2118 (2016c) [arXiv:1601.04227](#)
- Rosswog, S., Ramirez-Ruiz, E., Hix, W.R.: Tidal disruption and ignition of white dwarfs by moderately massive black holes. *Acad. Publ. J.* **695**, 404–419 (2009) [arXiv:0808.2143](#)

- Sabbi, E., Lennon, D.J., Gieles, S.E., de Mink, M., Walborn, N.R., Anderson, J., Bellini, A., Panagia, N., van der Marel, R., Maíz Apellániz, J.: A double cluster at the core of 30 Doradus. *Acad. Publ. J.* **754**, L37 (2012) [arXiv:1208.3103](#)
- Sacco, G.G., Spina, L., Randich, S., Palla, F., Parker, R.J., Jeffries, R.D., Jackson, R., Meyer, M.R., Mapelli, M., Lanzafame, A.C., Bonito, R., Damiani, F., Franciosini, E., Frasca, A., Klutsch, A., Prisinzano, L., Tognelli, E., Degl'Innocenti, S., Prada Moroni, P.G., Alfaro, E.J., Micela, G., Prusti, T., Barrado, D., Biazzo, K., Bouy, H., Bravi, L., Lopez-Santiago, J., Wright, N.J., Bayo, A., Gilmore, G., Bragaglia, A., Flaccomio, E., Koposov, S.E., Pancino, E., Casey, A.R., Costado, M.T., Donati, P., Hourihane, A., Jofré, P., Lardo, C., Lewis, J., Magrini, L., Monaco, L., Morbidelli, L., Sousa, S.G., Worley, C.C., Zaggia, S.: The Gaia-ESO survey: structural and dynamical properties of the young cluster Chamaeleon I. *Astron. Astrophys.* **601**, A97 (2017) [arXiv:1701.03741](#)
- Salpeter, E.E.: The luminosity function and stellar evolution. *Acad. Publ. J.* **121**, 161 (1955)
- Samsing, J.: Eccentric black hole mergers forming in globular clusters. *Phys. Rev. D* **97**(10), 103014 (2018) [arXiv:1711.07452](#)
- Samsing, J., Ramirez-Ruiz, E.: On the assembly rate of highly eccentric binary black hole mergers. *Acad. Publ. J.* **840**, L14 (2017) [arXiv:1703.09703](#)
- Schaye, J., Crain, R.A., Bower, R.G., Furlong, M., Schaller, M., Theuns, T., Dalla Vecchia, C., Frenk, C.S., McCarthy, I.G., Helly, J.C., Jenkins, A., Rosas-Guevara, Y.M., White, S.D.M., Baes, M., Booth, C.M., Camps, P., Navarro, J.F., Qu, Y., Rahmati, A., Sawala, T., Thomas, P.A., Trayford, J.: The EAGLE project: simulating the evolution and assembly of galaxies and their environments. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **446**, 521–554 (2015) [arXiv:1407.7040](#)
- Schödel, R.: The Milky Way's nuclear star cluster and massive black hole. In: Meiron, Y., Li, S., Liu, F.K., Spurzem, R. (eds.) *Star Clusters and Black Holes in Galaxies Across Cosmic Time*, IAU Symposium, vol. 312, pp. 274–281 (2016) [arXiv:1502.03397](#)
- Seifried, D., Walch, S.: The impact of turbulence and magnetic field orientation on star-forming filaments. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **452**, 2410–2422 (2015) [arXiv:1503.01659](#)
- Sesana, A.: Prospects for multiband gravitational-wave astronomy after GW150914. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**(23), 231102 (2016) [arXiv:1602.06951](#)
- Seth, A.C., van den Bosch, R., Mieske, S., Baumgardt, H., Brok, M.D., Strader, J., Neumayer, N., Chilingarian, I., Hilker, M., McDermid, R., Spitler, L., Brodie, J., Frank, M.J., Walsh, J.L.: A supermassive black hole in an ultra-compact dwarf galaxy. *Nature* **513**, 398–400 (2014) [arXiv:1409.4769](#)
- Shanahan, R.L., Gieles, M.: Biases in the inferred mass-to-light ratio of globular clusters: no need for variations in the stellar mass function. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **448**, L94–L98 (2015) [arXiv:1501.04971](#)
- Shapiro, S.L.: Monte Carlo simulations of the 2 + 1 dimensional Fokker–Planck equation—spherical star clusters containing massive, central black holes. In: Goodman, J., Hut, P. (eds.) *Dynamics of Star Clusters*, IAU Symposium, vol. 113, pp. 373–412 (1985)
- Shara, M.M., Hurley, J.R., Mardling, R.A.: Dynamical interactions make hot Jupiters in open star clusters. *Acad. Publ. J.* **816**, 59 (2016) [arXiv:1411.7061](#)
- Sills, A., Deiters, S., Eggleton, P., Freitag, M., Giersz, M., Heggie, D., Hurley, J., Hut, P., Ivanova, N., Klessen, R.S., Kroupa, P., Lombardi, J.C. Jr, McMillan, S., Portegies Zwart, S., Zinnecker, H.: MODEST-2: a summary. *New Astron.* **8**, 605–628 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0301478](#)
- Simpson, R.J., Povich, M.S., Kendrew, S., Lintott, C.J., Bressert, E., Arvidsson, K., Cyganowski, C., Maddison, S., Schawinski, K., Sherman, R., Smith, A.M., Wolf-Chase, G.: The Milky Way project first data release: a bubblier Galactic disc. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **424**, 2442–2460 (2012) [arXiv:1201.6357](#)
- Sollima, A., Baumgardt, H.: The global mass functions of 35 Galactic globular clusters: I. Observational data and correlations with cluster parameters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **471**, 3668–3679 (2017) [arXiv:1708.09529](#)
- Sollima, A., Martínez-Delgado, D., Muñoz, R.R., Carballo-Bello, J.A., Valls-Gabaud, D., Grebel, E.K., Santana, F.A., Côté, P., Djorgovski, S.G.: A survey for dwarf galaxy remnants around fourteen globular clusters in the outer halo. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **476**, 4814–4829 (2018) [arXiv:1802.09255](#)
- Spera, M., Giacobbo, N., Mapelli, M.: Shedding light on the black hole mass spectrum (2016). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1606.03349](#)
- Spera, M., Mapelli, M., Bressan, A.: The mass spectrum of compact remnants from the PARSEC stellar evolution tracks. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **451**, 4086–4103 (2015) [arXiv:1505.05201](#)
- Spitzer, L. Jr, Hart, M.H.: Random gravitational encounters and the evolution of spherical systems. I. Method. *Acad. Publ. J.* **164**, 399 (1971)
- Spurzem, R.: Direct N-body simulations. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **109**, 407–432 (1999) [arXiv:astro-ph/9906154](#)
- Spurzem, R., Giersz, M., Heggie, D.C., Lin, D.N.C.: Dynamics of planetary systems in star clusters. *Acad. Publ. J.* **697**, 458–482 (2009) [arXiv:astro-ph/0612757](#)
- Stephan, A.P., Naoz, S., Ghez, A.M., Witzel, G., Sitarski, B.N., Do, T., Kocsis, B.: Merging binaries in the galactic center: the eccentric Kozai–Lidov mechanism with stellar evolution. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **460**, 3494–3504 (2016) [arXiv:1603.02709](#)
- Stodolkiewicz, J.S.: Dynamical evolution of globular clusters. II—Binaries method. *Acta Astron.* **36**, 19–41 (1986)
- Strader, J., Caldwell, N., Seth, A.C.: Star clusters in M31. V. Internal dynamical trends: some troublesome, some reassuring. *Astron. J.* **142**, 8 (2011) [arXiv:1104.4649](#)
- Strader, J., Chomiuk, L., Maccarone, T.J., Miller-Jones, J.C.A., Seth, A.C., Heinke, C.O., Sivakoff, G.R.: No evidence for intermediate-mass black holes in globular clusters: strong constraints from the JVA. *Acad. Publ. J.* **750**, L27 (2012) [arXiv:1203.6352](#)
- Stutz, A.M., Gould, A.: Slingshot mechanism in Orion: kinematic evidence for ejection of protostars by filaments. *Astron. Astrophys.* **590**, A2 (2016) [arXiv:1512.04944](#)
- Tanaka, T., Haiman, Z.: The assembly of supermassive black holes at high redshifts. *Acad. Publ. J.* **696**, 1798–1822 (2009) [arXiv:0807.4702](#)
- Tanikawa, A.: Dynamical evolution of stellar mass black holes in dense stellar clusters: estimate for merger rate of binary black holes originating from globular clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **435**, 1358–1375 (2013) [arXiv:1307.6268](#)
- Tanikawa, A.: High-resolution hydrodynamic simulation of tidal detonation of a helium white dwarf by an intermediate mass black hole. *Acad. Publ. J.* **858**, 26 (2018) [arXiv:1711.05451](#)
- Tanikawa, A., Hut, P., Makino, J.: Unexpected formation modes of the first hard binary in core collapse. *New Astron.* **17**, 272–280 (2012) [arXiv:1107.3866](#)
- Tiongco, M.A., Vesperini, E., Varri, A.L.: Kinematical evolution of tidally limited star clusters: rotational properties. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **469**, 683–692 (2017) [arXiv:1704.05918](#)
- Tiongco, M.A., Vesperini, E., Varri, A.L.: The complex kinematics of rotating star clusters in a tidal field. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **475**, L86–L90 (2018) [arXiv:1801.06236](#)
- Toci, C., Galli, D.: Polytropic models of filamentary interstellar clouds—I. Structure and stability. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **446**, 2110–2117 (2015) [arXiv:1410.6091](#)
- Tremaine, S.D.: The formation of the nuclei of galaxies. II—The local group. *Acad. Publ. J.* **203**, 345–351 (1976)
- Tremou, E., Strader, J., Chomiuk, L., Shishkovsky, L., Maccarone, T.J., Miller-Jones, J.C.A., Tudor, V., Heinke, C.O., Sivakoff, G.R., Seth, A.C., Noyola, E.: The MAVERIC survey: still no evidence for accreting intermediate-mass black holes in globular clusters (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1806.00259](#)
- Tsatsi, A., Mastrobuono-Battisti, A., van de Ven, G., Perets, H.B., Bianchini, P., Neumayer, N.: On the rotation of nuclear star clusters formed by cluster inspirals. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **464**, 3720–3727 (2017) [arXiv:1610.01162](#)
- Tsuboi, M., Kitamura, Y., Tsutsumi, T., Uehara, K., Miyoshi, M., Miyawaki, R., Miyazaki, A.: The second galactic center black hole? A possible detection of ionized gas orbiting around an IMBH embedded in the galactic center IRS13E complex. *Acad. Publ. J.* **850**, L5 (2017) [arXiv:1711.00612](#)
- Valencia-Enríquez, D., Puerari, I., Chaves-Velasquez, L.: Detecting the growth of structures in pure stellar disk models. *Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis.* **53**, 257–273 (2017) [arXiv:1705.08548](#)
- van der Marel, R.P., Anderson, J.: New limits on an intermediate-mass black hole in Omega Centauri. II. Dynamical models. *Acad. Publ. J.* **710**, 1063–1088 (2010) [arXiv:0905.0638](#)
- van der Marel, R.P., Fardal, M.A., Sohn, S.T., Patel, E., Besla, G., del Pino-Molina, A., Sahlmann, J., Watkins, L.L.: First Gaia dynamics of the Andromeda system: DR2 proper motions, orbits, and rotation of M31 and M33 (2018). *ArXiv e-prints* [arXiv:1805.04079](#)
- van Leeuwen, F., Evans, D.W., De Angeli, F., Jordi, C., Busso, G., Cacciari, C., Riello, M., Pancino, E., Altavilla, G., Brown, A.G.A., Burgess, P., Carrasco, J.M., Coccozza, G., Cowell, S., Davidson, M., De Luise, F., Fabricius, C., Galletti, S., Gilmore, G., Giuffrida, G., Hambly, N.C., Harrison, D.L., Hodgkin, S.T., Holland, G., MacDonald, I., Marini, S., Montegriffo, P., Osborne, P., Ragaini, S., Richards, P.J., Rowell, N., Voss, H., Walton, N.A., Weiler, M., Castellani, M., Delgado, A., Høg, E., van Leeuwen, M., Millar, N.R., Pagani, C., Piersimoni, A.M., Pulone, L., Rixon, G., Suess, F.F., Wyrzykowski, Ł., Yoldas, A., Alecu, A., Allan, P.M., Balaguer-Núñez, L., Barstow, M.A., Bellazzini, M., Belokurov, V., Blagorodnova, N., Bonfigli, M., Bragaglia, A., Brown, S.,

- Bunclark, P., Buonanno, R., Burgon, R., Campbell, H., Collins, R.S., Cross, N.J.G., Ducourant, C., van Elteren, A., Evans, N.W., Federici, L., Fernández-Hernández, J., Figueras, F., Fraser, M., Fyfe, D., Gebran, M., Heyrovsky, A., Holl, B., Holland, A.D., Iannicola, G., Irwin, M., Koposov, S.E., Krone-Martins, A., Mann, R.G., Marrese, P.M., Masana, E., Munari, U., Ortiz, P., Ouzounis, A., Peltzer, C., Portell, J., Read, A., Terrett, D., Torra, J., Trager, S.C., Troisi, L., Valentini, G., Valenari, A., Wevers, T.: Gaia Data Release 1. The photometric data. *Astron. Astrophys.* **599**, A32 (2017) [arXiv:1612.02952](#)
- Vanzella, E., Calura, F., Meneghetti, M., Mercurio, A., Castellano, M., Caminha, G.B., Balestra, I., Rosati, P., Tozzi, P., De Barros, S., Grazian, A., D'Ercole, A., Ciotti, L., Caputi, K., Grillo, C., Merlin, E., Pentericci, L., Fontana, A., Cristiani, S., Coe, D.: Paving the way for the JWST: witnessing globular cluster formation at $z > 3$. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **467**, 4304–4321 (2017) [arXiv:1612.01526](#)
- Vasiliev, E.: A new Fokker–Planck approach for the relaxation-driven evolution of galactic nuclei. *Acad. Publ. J.* **848**, 10 (2017) [arXiv:1709.04467](#)
- Vasiliev, E.: AGAMA: action-based galaxy modelling architecture (2018a). ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1802.08239](#)
- Vasiliev, E.: Internal dynamics of the Large Magellanic Cloud from Gaia DR2 proper motions (2018b). ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1805.08157](#)
- Venuti, L., Bouvier, J., Cody, A.M., Stauffer, J.R., Micela, G., Rebull, L.M., Alencar, S.H.P., Sousa, A.P., Hillenbrand, L.A., Flaccomio, E.: CSI 2264: investigating rotation and its connection with disk accretion in the young open cluster NGC 2264. *Astron. Astrophys.* **599**, A23 (2017) [arXiv:1610.08811](#)
- Vesperini, E.: Star cluster dynamics. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **368**, 829–849 (2010) [arXiv:0911.0793](#)
- Vesperini, E., McMillan, S.L.W., D'Antona, F., D'Ercole, A.: Dynamical evolution and spatial mixing of multiple population globular clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **429**, 1913–1921 (2013) [arXiv:1212.2651](#)
- Vesperini, E., Trenti, M.: Widespread presence of shallow cusps in the surface-brightness profile of globular clusters. *Acad. Publ. J.* **720**, L179–L184 (2010) [arXiv:1008.2771](#)
- Vincke, K., Breslau, A., Pfalzner, S.: Strong effect of the cluster environment on the size of protoplanetary discs? *Astron. Astrophys.* **577**, A115 (2015) [arXiv:1504.06092](#)
- Wall, J., McMillan, S.L.W., Mac Low, M.M., Ibañez-Mejía, J., Portegies Zwart, S., Pellegrino, A.: Simulating stellar cluster formation and early evolution. In: American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts, vol. 229, p. 153.02 (2017)
- Wang, L., Spurzem, R., Aarseth, S., Giersz, M., Askar, A., Berczik, P., Naab, T., Schadow, R., Kouwenhoven, M.B.N.: The DRAGON simulations: globular cluster evolution with a million stars. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **458**, 1450–1465 (2016) [arXiv:1602.00759](#)
- Watkins, L.L., van der Marel, R.P., Bellini, A., Anderson, J.: Hubble Space Telescope Proper Motion (HSTPROMO) catalogs of galactic globular cluster. II. Kinematic profiles and maps. *Acad. Publ. J.* **803**, 29 (2015) [arXiv:1502.00005](#)
- Watkins, L.L., van der Marel, R.P., Sohn, S.T., Evans, N.W.: Evidence for an intermediate-mass Milky Way from Gaia DR2 Halo globular cluster motions (2018). ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1804.11348](#)
- Webb, J.J., Vesperini, E.: Radial variation in the stellar mass functions of star clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **463**, 2383–2393 (2016) [arXiv:1608.07293](#)
- Weisz, D.R., Foesneau, M., Hogg, D.W., Rix, H.W., Dolphin, A.E., Dalcanton, J.J., Foreman-Mackey, D.T., Lang, D., Johnson, L.C., Beerman, L.C., Bell, E.F., Gordon, K.D., Gouliermis, D., Kalirai, J.S., Skillman, E.D., Williams, B.F.: The panchromatic Hubble Andromeda treasury. IV. A probabilistic approach to inferring the high-mass stellar initial mass function and other power-law functions. *Acad. Publ. J.* **762**, 123 (2013) [arXiv:1211.6105](#)
- Whitworth, A.P., Bhattal, A.S., Chapman, S.J., Disney, M.J., Turner, J.A.: The preferential formation of high-mass stars in shocked interstellar gas layers. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **268**, 291 (1994)
- Witzel, G., Ghez, A.M., Morris, M.R., Sitarski, B.N., Boehle, A., Naoz, S., Campbell, R., Becklin, E.E., Canalizo, G., Chappell, S., Do, T., Lu, J.R., Matthews, K., Meyer, L., Stockton, A., Wizinowich, P., Yelda, S.: Detection of galactic center source G2 at $3.8 \mu\text{m}$ during periape passage. *Acad. Publ. J.* **796**, L8 (2014) [arXiv:1410.1884](#)
- Wyse, R.F.G., Gilmore, G., Houdashelt, M.L., Feltzing, S., Hebb, L., Gallagher, J.S. III, Smecker-Hane, T.A.: Faint stars in the Ursa Minor dwarf spheroidal galaxy: implications for the low-mass stellar initial mass function at high redshift. *New Astron.* **7**, 395–433 (2002) [arXiv:astro-ph/0206144](#)
- Xin, Y., Ferraro, F.R., Lu, P., Deng, L., Lanzoni, B., Dalessandro, E., Beccari, G.: The binary mass transfer origin of the red blue straggler sequence in M30. *Acad. Publ. J.* **801**, 67 (2015) [arXiv:1501.01358](#)
- Zeidler, P., Nota, A., Grebel, E.K., Sabbi, E., Pasquali, A., Tosi, M., Christian, C.: A high-resolution multiband survey of Westerlund 2 with the Hubble space telescope. III. The present-day stellar mass function. *Astron. J.* **153**, 122 (2017) [arXiv:1701.07302](#)
- Zheng, X., Kouwenhoven, M.B.N., Wang, L.: The dynamical fate of planetary systems in young star clusters. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **453**, 2759–2770 (2015) [arXiv:1508.01593](#)
- Zocchi, A., Gieles, M., Hénault-Brunet, V.: Radial anisotropy in ω Cen limiting the room for an intermediate-mass black hole. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **468**, 4429–4440 (2017) [arXiv:1702.00725](#)
- Zocchi, A., Gieles, M., Hénault-Brunet, V.: The effect of stellar-mass black holes on the central kinematics of ω Cen: a cautionary tale for IMBH interpretations. *MNRAS* (2018). [arXiv:1806.02157](#)
- Zonoozi, A.H., Haghi, H., Kroupa, P.: A possible solution for the M/L-[Fe/H] relation of globular clusters in M3. I. A metallicity- and density-dependent top-heavy IMF. *Acad. Publ. J.* **826**, 89 (2016) [arXiv:1605.04913](#)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen® journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](#)